



Sincerely,



Varun Nayak, EPt
Monitoring Officer
Nunavut Impact Review Board

cc: Richard Dwyer, Nunavut Water Board
Luis Manzo, Kivalliq Inuit Association
Ashley Aupaluktuq-Burton, Kivalliq Inuit Association
Brenda Pilakapsi, Kivalliq Inuit Association
Tracey McCaie, Crown – Indigenous Relations and Northern Affairs Canada

i

2. The Proponent shall maintain a record of wildlife observations while operating within the project area. The Proponent shall compile this information into a Wildlife Monitoring Report which will include but not limited to:
 - a. Locations (i.e., latitude and longitude);
 - b. Species;
 - c. Number of animals;
 - d. Description of the animal activity;
 - e. Description of the gender and age (young present) of animals, if possible;
 - f. Observations and locations of denning sites, calving areas, caribou crossing sites, and raptor nests;
 - g. Observations of Species at Risk;
 - h. Timing of critical life history events observed such as calving, mating, denning and nesting;
 - i. All potential impacts to wildlife from project activities;
 - j. All actions/mitigation taken to reduce adverse impacts to wildlife; and
 - k. An analysis of the effectiveness of mitigation measures implemented with regards to wildlife based on the results of the “Wildlife Record”.
3. The Proponent shall submit a comprehensive Annual Report to the NIRB, with copies to INAC, GN-DOE, EC and KIA by January 31st of each year of project activities. The report must contain, but not be limited to, the following information:
 - a. A summary of activities undertaken for the reporting year;
 - b. A work plan for the following year, including any progressive reclamation work to be undertaken;
 - c. A Wildlife Monitoring Report as described above in item (2);
 - d. A discussion regarding the steps taken (including any baseline work conducted) by the Proponent to thoroughly identify, analyze and manage the environmental impacts and cumulative effects from the project activities, particularly in respect to caribou;
 - e. Site photos;
 - f. Efforts made to achieve compliance with the Canadian Wide Standards for Dioxins and Furans, and the Canadian Wide Standards for Mercury;
 - g. Efforts to implement a comprehensive waste management strategy (especially waste segregation) that is designed to reduce and control the volumes of wastes produced, transported, and disposed of;
 - h. A summary of emissions from the sewage incineration as per the National Pollutant Release Inventory (NPRI); as well as the following:
 - a. manufacturer, model and year of the incinerator
 - b. air pollution control equipment used

-
- c. handling procedures for transporting the sewage from the “Pacto Toilets” to the incinerator
 - i. A summary of community consultations conducted; and
 - j. A summary of how the Proponent has complied with the NIRB terms and conditions contained within this Screening Decision, and the terms and conditions associated with all authorizations for the project.