# PROJECT CERTIFICATE WORKSHOP
# ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑎᓕᕆᓂᖏᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᖃᖅᓂᖅ

---

NIRB Workshop to inform the further development of the Project Specific Monitoring Framework

NIRB -ᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᑦᓯᒃᑲᐃᓂᖏᑦ ᑐᓴᐅᒪᖁᔨᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᐱᕙᓪᓕᐊᑎᑦᓯᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᕈᒪᖃᑦᑕᖅᓂᐊᖅᑐᓄᑦ ᑐᕋᖓᖃᑦᑕᖅᓂᐊᖅᑐᓄᑦ ᐊᑕᐅᓯᓗᐊᒨᖓᔪᓈᖓᔪᓄᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᒥᐅᑕᓃᖅᑐᓂᒃᓗ ᐊᒃᑐᐃᕙᓪᓕᐊᓂᖃᕆᐊᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᒋᐊᖅᑕᐅᖃᑦᑕᖅᓴᕆᐊᖏᓐᓂᒃᓗ

ᐊᐃᕆᓕ 6, 2017
ᐃᖃᓗᐃᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᑦ

April 6, 2017
Iqaluit, NU

# NIRB OPENING REMARKS
# NIRB ᐅᖃᐅᓯᒐᐅᑎᖕᒋᑦ

NIRB Welcome and Opening Remarks

- Introduction of Participants,
- Workshop goals and deliverables
- Overview of Agenda

NIRB ᑐᖖᒐᓵᓇᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᖖᒐᐅᑎᖕᒋᓪᓗ

- ᑭᒃᑰᔾᓇᐊᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᒪᖃᑕᐅᔪᑦ,
- ᑐᕌᕐᕕᐅᓇᓱᐊᕐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑦ
- ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᓂᐊᖅᑐᓪᓗ
- ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒃᓴᓃᖕᒐᔪᑦ

# INTRODUCTION OF PARTICIPANTS

ᑭᒃᑰᔭᓇᐊᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᒪᖅᑲᑕᐅᔪᑦ

# WORKSHOP GOALS AND DELIVERABLES

ᑐᕌᕐᑭᐅᓇᓱᐊᕐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑦ
ᐅᕐᑲᐅᓯᐅᓂᐊᖅᑐᑦᓗ

# Agenda

**8:30 am** -Introduction, workshop goals and deliverables;

-NIRB presentation: NIRB role in the monitoring process, direction in Nunavut Agreement and NuPPAA, roles of parties;

-Facilitated discussion:

- Strategic questions to Proponents,
- Strategic questions to Responsible Authorities,

**10:00 am - Health Break**

- Discussion on cost of monitoring activities vs. results delivered,
- Considerations for regulatory authorities (including NWB) with respect to opportunities to coordinate in the assessment, development and implementation of monitoring programs;

**11:45 am** -Session wrap up: results of discussions, reporting expectations, next steps in process

# ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᑎᒃᓴᑦ

**8:30 am**-ᑭᒃᑰᔭᕆᐊᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᑕᐃᒍᐃᔪᑦ, ᑐᕌᕐᕕᐅᓇᓱᐊᕐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᓂᐊᖅᑐᓪᓗ;

-NIRB ᐅᖃᐅᓯᕆᓂᐊᖅᑕᖏᑦ: NIRB ᖃᐅᔨᒋᐊᕈᑎᒋᖃᑦᑕᖅᑕᖏᓐᓅᖓᔪᑦ ᖃᓄᖅ ᓇᐅᑦᓯᖅᑐᐃᖃᑦᑕᕆᐊᖏᓐᓂᒃ, ᑐᑭᒧᐊᒍᑎᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᒥ ᐊᖏᕈᑎᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪ ᓅᐸᒃᑯᓪᓗ, ᑎᒥᒋᔭᐅᔪᓄᓪᓗ ᐃᓚᐅᓂᕆᕙᒃᑕᖏᑦ;

-ᐅᖃᖅᑎᖃᕐᓗᑎᒃ ᐅᖃᖃᑎᒌᓚᖓᔪᑦ:

- ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑎᒃᓴᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖃᕈᒪᔪᒧᑦ ᑐᕌᖓᔪᑦ,
- ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑎᒃᓴᑦ ᐱᔪᓐᓇᐅᑎᑖᖅᑎᑦᓯᖃᑦᑕᖅᑐᓄᑦ,

**10:00 am - ᓄᖅᑲᖓᖃᑎᒌᓚᐅᐱᓪᓚᖕᓂᖅ**

- ᐅᖃᐅᓯᕆᔭᐅᓂᖓ ᖃᓄᑎᒋ ᖃᐅᔨᒋᐊᖃᑦᑕᕐᓂᑦᖅ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕆᔭᐅᔪᒥᒃ ᐊᑭᖃᖃᑦᑕᕆᐊᖓᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪ. ᓴᖅᑭᖅᑎᑕᐅᓯᒪᓕᖅᑐᓪᓗ,
- ᐱᔪᓐᓇᐅᑎᑖᖅᑎᑦᓯᔨᓄᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᒃᓴᖅᓯᐅᕈᑎᒃᓴᑦ (ᐃᓚᖃᓯᐅᑎᓗᒋᑦ NWB) ᐱᔾᔪᑎᑲᖅᑐᖅ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖃᑎᒌᒍᓐᓇᕐᓂᖅ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕈᑎᖃᕆᐊᖃᓕᕋᐃᒍᒥᒃ, ᓴᖅᑭᐊᑎᕆᓂᖅ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑦᓯᓂᕐᓗ ᖃᐅᔨᒋᐊᕈᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᖅᑐᓄᑦ ᐊᑐᒐᐅᔪᓐᓇᖅᑐᓂᒃ;

**11:45 am** -ᑲᑎᒪᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᓄᖅᑲᐅᑎᖃᖅᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖅ: ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ, ᓂᕆᐅᒋᔭᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᖃᕐᓂᖅ, ᓯᕗᒻᒧᐊᖏᒃᑲᓐᓂᕈᑎᒃᓴᑦ

# NIRB PRESENTATION – PROCESS OVERVIEW

## NIRB ᐅᖅᑲᐅᓯᒃᓴᖕᒋᑦ – ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖅᑲᒃᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᕆᔫᖕᒋᑦ

# NIRB's Review Process Overview



NIRB Review Initiated

Phase 1 of Review: Scoping and Guidelines Development

Phase 2 of Review: Receipt of DEIS Technical Review

Phase 2 of Review: Receipt of FEIS announce Public Hearing

NIRB Final Hearing Decision to Minister

Minister's Decision

NIRB Project Certificate Issued

- Where it has been determined that a project should proceed, NIRB must issue a project certificate including any terms and conditions which have been accepted or varied by the Minister.
  - Terms and conditions from the Final Hearing Report are carried forward into the Project Certificate

# NIRB ᐅᖃᐅᓯᖅᓴᖏᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖃᖅᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᕆᓲᖏᑦ

NIRB ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕈᑎᒋᒥᓂᐊᖅᑕᖓ ᐱᖏᐊᕐᓂᖓ

ᐱᒋᐊᕐᓂᖓ 1 ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕐᓂᐅᑉ: ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕈᑕᐅᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᕆᐊᖃᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᑐᐊᒐᒃᓴᓕᐅᒪᓂᕐᒧ

ᑐᓪᓕᐊ 2 ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕐᓂᐅᑉ: ᓯᕗᓪᓕᖅ ᐅᓂᖄᓕᐊᖅ ᐃᓗᓕᖏᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕈᑕᐅᔪᑦ

ᑐᓪᓕᐊ 2 ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕐᓂᐅᑉ: ᑭᖑᓪᓕᖅ ᐅᓂᖄᓕᐊᖅ ᐃᓄᒋᐊᒃᑎᓪᓗᒍ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᑕᐅᓛᕐᓂᖏᑦ

NIRB ᑭᖑᓪᓖᑦᑐᒥᒃ ᑲᑎᒪᑎᕐᓯᓂᖅ ᐃᓱᒪᒃᓴᖅᓯᐅᕈᑎ ᒥᓂᔅᑕᒧᐊᖅᑕᖅ

ᒥᓂᔅᑕᐅᑉ ᐃᓱᒪᒃᓴᖅᓯᐅᕈᑎᖓ

NIRB ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑐᑕᖅᑖᖅᑎᕐᓯᔪᑦ

- ᐱᓕᕆᐊᒃᓴᖅ ᑲᔪᓯᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖓᓂᒃ, NIRB ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᒃᓴᒥᒃ ᑐᓂᓯᔭᕆᐊᖃᖅᑐᑦ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᓂᒃ ᐃᓚᖃᕐᓗᓂ ᐊᖏᖅᑕᐅᓂᑯᕕᓂᕐᓂᒃ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᖅᑐᕐᑯᔭᐅᓂᑯᕕᓂᕐᓂᓪᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᒥᓂᔅᑕᒧᑦ.
  - ᑭᖑᓪᓕᕐᒥᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᓕᐊᒦᑦᑐᕕᓃᑦ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᓕᐊᖑᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᕐᒧᐊᖅᑕᐅᔪᑦ

# Monitoring Process Overview
# ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕆᔭᐅᔪᒥᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᒋᐊᖃᑦᑕᖅᓂᖅ

- The terms and conditions of NIRB project certificates must be implemented by all government departments and agencies in accordance with their authorities and jurisdictional responsibilities.
- The terms and conditions of NIRB project certificate must be incorporated into relevant permits, certificates, licences or other government approvals that the proponent may require.

- ᓄᓇᕗᒥ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᓐᓃᖔᖅᑐᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᖅᑖᕈᑎᓂ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᐊ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᓕᐊᖑᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑕᐅᓯᒪᖃᑦᑕᓴᓇᐊᖃᖅᑐᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᐅᖅᓂᓕᒫᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖃᑎᒌᒋᔭᐅᔪᓄᓪᓗ ᓴᙱᓂᖃᖅᑎᑕᐅᓂᓇᔭᒥᓂᒃ ᒪᓕᒡᓗᑎᒃ ᐱᓇᓱᐊᒐᖃᖅᑎᑕᐅᕕᖕᒥᑎᒍᓪᓗ.
- ᓄᓇᕗᒥ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᓐᓃᖔᖅᑐᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᖅᑖᕈᑎᓂ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᐊ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᓕᐊᖑᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐃᓚᖃᓯᐅᔾᔭᐅᔭᓇᐊᖃᖅᑐᑦ ᐱᔪᓐᓇᐅᑎᑖᕈᑎᓄᑦ, ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑎᓄᑦ, ᓚᐃᓴᓐᓯᓄᑦ ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᐊᓯᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᖏᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᒧᓄᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᒃᑯᓐᓄᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖃᕈᒪᔭᑉ ᐱᔭᕆᐊᖃᕋᔭᖅᑕᐃᓄᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖃᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᐊᓵᕐᓗᓂ.

# Monitoring Process Overview
# ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕆᔭᐅᔪᒥᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᒋᐊᖃᑦᑕᕐᓂᖅ

- Government departments and agencies shall discuss with NIRB how best to implement the terms and conditions of NIRB project certificates and may provide NIRB with drafts of permits, certificates, licences and other government approvals.
  - Although not Government, the Regional Inuit Associations also have a strong role in implementing Project Certificate terms and conditions
- Project certificate workshop is the beginning of this dialogue
  - NIRB will be tracking the various licencing processes as they progress
  - NIRB will seek to coordinate and provide advice on implementation

- ᒐᕙᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᐅᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖃᑎᒋᒋᔭᐅᔪᓪᓗ ᓄᓇᕘᒥ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᖃᖃᑎᖃᕐᓂᐊᖅᐳᑦ ᐊᑲᐅᓂᖅᐹᒃᑯᑦ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᓕᐊᖑᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᐊᕆᐊᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᓚᕘᒥ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐃᓚᖃᓯᐅᔾᔭᐅᓯᒪᖃᑦᑕᕐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᑐᐃᓐᓇᐅᑎᑦᓯᒍᓐᓇᑐᐃᓐᓇᓇᐊᖃᖅᓯᑎᒡᓗ ᓯᕗᓪᓕᖅᐹᖅᓯᐅᑎᓂᒃ ᐱᔭᕐᓇᐅᑎᑖᕈᑎᓂᒃ, ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᓂᒃ, ᓚᐃᓴᓐᓯᓂᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᓯᖏᓐᓂᒡᓗ ᐊᖏᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᓂᒡᓗ.
  - ᒐᕙᒪᐅᖏᒃᑲᓗᐊᒻᖅᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ, ᓄᓇᓖᑦ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᐅᕐᓂᖏᓐᓃᑦᑐᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᐊᑎᒌᖏᑦ ᐃᓚᐅᖃᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᒥᔪᑦᑕᐅᖅ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕆᔭᒃᓴᓄᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᖅᑖᕈᑎᒃᓴᓃᑦᑐᓂᒃ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑦᓯᓂᕐᒥᑦ
- ᐱᔭᕐᓇᐅᑎᑖᕐᓂᕐᒥᑦ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᕐᓂᖅ ᐆᒪ ᐱᖏᐊᕐᓂᐊᖓᓐᓃᑦᑐᖅ
  - NIRB ᖃᐅᔨᒋᐊᖃᑦᑕᕐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᔾᔨᒌᖏᑦᑑᑏᑦ ᓚᐃᓴᓐᓯᑖᕈᑎᒃᓴᓅᖓᔪᑦ ᓯᕗᒻᒧᒃᐸᓪᓕᐊᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ
  - NIRB ᖃᐅᔨᒋᐊᕐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᒃᑯᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᕐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑦᓯᓂᕐᒥᑦ

# Monitoring Process Overview

# ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕆᔭᐅᔪᒥᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᒋᐊᖃᑦᑕᕐᓂᖅ

- What is a Project Certificate? How is it different than the licences, permits and approvals required for the Project?
- Project Certificate is a “post-decision” quality control mechanism.
  - If it functions properly, it allows regulators and the NIRB to revisit the impact predictions and proposed mitigation measures from the EIS to answer whether, as the project is implemented did the assessment of impacts really “get it right” and if not, to trigger the feedback loop to revisit how to “get it right”.
  - Although it is recognized that there may be some overlap between the terms and conditions in a Project Certificate and the authorizations issued pursuant to licences or permits required to carry out specific project activities, the focus of the Project Certificate terms and conditions can be more global than is generally the case with licences and permits.

- ᓱᓇᐅᓇ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᖅ ? ᖃᓄᖅ ᐊᔾᔨᒋᙱᓚᐅᒃ ᓚᐃᓴᓐᓯᓂᒃ, ᐱᔪᓐᓇᐅᑎᓂᒃ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᖏᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᓂᒡᓗ ᐱᓇᓱᐊᒐᖃᕐᓂᐊᕐᓗᓂ ?
- ᑕᒪᓐᓇ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᖅ “ᐃᓱᒪᒃᓴᖅᓯᐅᕈᑕᐅᓯᒪᓕᖅᑳᖅᑎᑦᑐᒍ” ᓇᓚᐅᑦᓵᕈᑎᓃᑦᑐᑦ ᑕᐃᒫᑦᓯᐊᖅ ᓇᓚᐅᑦᓵᖅᑕᐅᓚᐅᕆᐊᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᐅᓪᓗᓂ.
  - ᐊᐅᓚᓂᖃᑦᓯᐊᑐᐊᕈᓂ, ᐱᔪᓐᓇᐅᑖᖅᑎᑦᓯᔨᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᕘᒥ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᓐᓂᒡᓗ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᑦᓯᕕᖃᕈᓐᓇᖅᓲᖅ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᓄᙵ ᐊᒃᑐᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᖃᓯᐅᔾᔭᐅᑐᐃᓐᓇᓂᐊᖃᖅᑐᑦ ᓇᓚᐅᑦᓴᕈᑎᕕᓂᐅᔪᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒪ ᑐᒃᓯᕋᐅᑎᒃᑯᓪᓗ ᐸᓚᐅᒥᔾᔪᑎᒃᓴᓕᐊᖑᓯᒪᔪᓄᓪᓗ ᐊᕙᑎᒥᐅᑕᓃᑦᑐᑦ ᐊᒃᑐᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᖃᓯᐅᔾᔭᐅᑐᐃᓐᓇᓂᐊᖃᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᓕᐊᕕᓂᕐᒥᑦᑐᓄᑦ ᑭᐅᔭᐅᔪᓐᓇᖁᓪᓗᒍ, ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕆᔭᐅᔪᖅ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑕᐅᓯᒪᓕᖅᑳᖅᑎᑦᑐᒍ ᐊᒃᑐᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᖃᓯᐅᔾᔭᐅᑐᐃᓐᓇᓂᐊᖃᖅᑐᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᐅᑎᑦᑐᒋᑦ “ᓇᓚᐅᓯᒪᑦᓯᐊᓚᐅᕆᐊᖏᓐᓂᒃ” ᓇᓚᐅᓯᒪᑦᓯᐊᖏᓐᓂᕈᑎᓪᓗ, ᐊᐅᓪᓚᖅᑎᑦᓯᔪᓐᓇᕈᑕᐅᓗᓂ ᑭᐅᔭᐅᒥᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᓛᕐᑐᓂ ᐅᑎᕐᕕᐅᒃᑲᓐᓂᕐᓗᓂᓗ ᖃᓄᖅ “ᑕᐃᒪᑦᓯᐊᑦᓯᐊᖅ ”. ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᓚᐅᕆᐊᖓᓂᒃ
  - ᓇᓗᓇᙱᒃᑲᓗᐊᖅᑎᑦᑐᒍ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᐊ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᓕᐊᖑᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᖃᓕᓅᑐᐃᓐᓇᓂᐊᖃᖃᑦᑕᕐᓂᐊᕆᐊᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᒥ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᖏᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᓕᖅᑐᓪᓗ ᓚᐃᓴᓐᓯᑖᕈᑎᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐱᔪᓐᓇᐅᑎᑖᕈᑎᑦᑑᓐᓃᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᖃᖅᓯᔭᖅᑐᑦ ᐱᓕᐱᕆᐊᖃᖅᑐᖃᕐᓂᐊᕈᓂ, ᑕᐅᒃᑦᑐᒐᐅᔪᖅ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᖅ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᓕᐊᖑᑦᑕᒪᐃᓐᓄᓕᖅᕙᖕᓇᖅᑐᑦ ᓚᐃᓴᓐᓯᓂᐅᖓᓂᒃ ᐱᔪᓐᓇᐅᑎᓯᓂᐅᖓᓂᒡᓗ.

# Monitoring Process Overview

# ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕆᔭᐅᔪᒥᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᒋᐊᖃᑦᑕᕐᓂᖅ

- To achieve the goal of post-decision quality control, a Project Certificate needs to do the following:

  1. **provide the basis for inspection and surveillance** to ensure that the project is implemented as it was proposed, reflecting both the project scope as assessed in the Environmental Assessment and the specific mitigation measures proposed in the Environmental Impact Statement, provided in the commitments list and contained in the Project Certificate;

  2. **provide a mechanism for overall compliance and effects monitoring** to ensure impacts remain within predicted levels;

- ᑐᕌᕐᕕᐅᔪᒪᔪᖅ ᐃᓱᒪᒃᓴᖅᓯᐅᕈᑕᐅᓯᒪᓕᖅᑳᖅᑎᓪᓗᒍ ᓇᓚᐅᑦᓴᕈᑎᓃᑦᑐᑦ ᑕᐃᒫᑦᓯᐊᖅ ᓇᓚᐅᑦᓵᖅᑕᐅᓚᐅᕆᐊᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᐅᓪᓗᓂ, a ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᖅ ᐃᒪᐃᓕᖓᔭᕆᐊᖃᖅᑐᖅ:

  1. ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕈᑕᐅᑦᓯᐊᕆᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᐊᕐᒪᓪᓗ ᑕᐅᑐᒐᐅᖃᑦᑕᕐᓂᐊᕐᕐᒪᓪᓗ ᐱᓕᕆᐸᕆᔭᐅᔪᒪᔪᖅ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖃᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᐊᕐᒪᑦ ᐱᖏᐊᕐᓂᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑕᐅᒋᐊᖓᖅᑎᓪᓗᒍ, ᑕᒪᒃᑭᐅᒪᑦᓯᐊᕐᓗᑎᒃ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᖅᒥᑦᑐᑦ;

  2. ᓇᓚᐅᑦᓵᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐊᒃᑐᐃᓂᖃᕈᓐᓇᖅᑐᑦ ᓇᓚᐅᓯᒪᔭᕆᐊᖏᓐᓂᒡᓗ;

# Monitoring Process Overview

# ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕆᔭᐅᔪᒥᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᒋᐊᖃᑦᑕᕐᓂᖅ

- To achieve the goal of post-decision quality control, a Project Certificate needs to do the following:

3. **support adaptive management** by requiring that unanticipated effects or changes to the magnitude of predicted impacts be identified and that mitigation measures and regulatory instruments be adapted to address unanticipated effects or changes to predicted impacts; and,

4. **adopt audit and process evaluation measures** to examine and transparently report on the accuracy of predictions, the success or failure of mitigation measures and overall levels of environmental and socio-economic performance of the project and effectiveness of the environmental assessment **and** regulatory processes in supporting environmental performance.

- ᑐᕌᕐᕕᐅᔪᒪᔪᖅ ᐃᓱᒪᒃᓴᖅᓯᐅᕈᑕᐅᓯᒪᓕᖅᑳᖅᑎᓪᓗᒍ ᓇᓚᐅᑦᓵᕈᑎᓃᑦᑐᑦ ᑕᐃᒫᑦᓯᐊᖅ ᓇᓚᐅᑦᓵᖅᑕᐅᓚᐅᓇᐊᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᐅᓪᓗᓂ, a ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᖅ ᐃᒪᐃᓕᖓᔭᕆᐊᖃᖅᑐᖅ:

3. ᐊᓯᐊᒍᖔᖅ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᔾᔪᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᖅᓲᑎᒋᓗᒍ ᐊᒃᑐᐃᓂᖃᕐᓂᐊᖅᑐᓇᔭᐅᓚᐅᖏᑦᑐᑦ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᖅᑎᓯᒪᔪᓪᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᐊᔫᒃᐸᑕ ᓇᓚᐅᑦᓵᒐᐅᓂᖏᑦᑎᒍᑦ ᓗᓇᐃᖅᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᐊᕐᒪᑕ ᐸᓚᐅᒥᔾᔪᓯᖅᑐᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᓪᓗ ᐱᔪᖕᓇᐅᑎᑖᕈᑏᓪᓗ ᐋᖅᑭᒃᓱᖅᑕᐅᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᓇᐊᖅᑲᕐᓂᕋᐃᒍᑎᒃ ᐊᖅᒋᐊᖅᑕᐅᖃᑦᑕᖁᓪᓗᒋᑦ; ᐊᒻᒪ,

4. ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑦᓯᔪᖅᑕᖃᕐᓗᓂ ᑕᒪᓐᓇ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᔭᐃᔭᕈᑕᐅᖃᑦᑕᖅᑐᖅ ᖃᐅᔨᒋᐊᕈᑕᐅᖃᑦᑕᖅᑐᕐᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᐊᑐᕐᓂᖃᓗᐊᐱᓇᐊᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᕝᕙᓗᓐᓂᑦ ᓇᐅᒃᑯᑦ ᓴᙱᓐᓂᖃᓇᐊᖃᕐᓂᖓᓂᒡᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒋᐊᖅᑕᐅᖃᑦᑕᕐᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᐊᕐᒪᑦ ᓇᓚᐅᑦᓵᕈᑕᐅᔪᓪᓗ ᑕᐃᒫᑦᓯᐊᖅ ᓇᓚᐅᓯᒪᑦᓯᐊᕋᓗᐊᓇᐊᖏᓐᓂᒡᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᓇᔭᐅᖃᑦᑕᕈᓪᓚᕐᓂᐊᕐᒪᑕᓗ, ᐸᓚᒥᔾᔪᑎᒃᓴᑦ ᐱᐳᒋᐊᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᓂᖃᓯᐊᖏᒋᐊᖏᓐᓂᒡᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑕᖏᕐᓗᒋᓪᓗ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᓂᕐᒨᖓᔪᑦ ᐃᓄᓕᕆᓂᕐᒨᖓᔪᑦ-ᐱᕙᓪᓕᐊᔪᓕᕆᓂᕐᒨᖓᔪᓪᓗ ᐱᓇᓱᐊᒐᓇᔭᐅᔪᒪᔪᒨᖓᔪᐃᓐᓇᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᕐᓂᖃᓯᐊᓇᐊᖏᓐᓂᒡᓗ ᖃᐅᔮᓴᕈᑕᐅᖃᑦᑕᓲᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐱᔪᖕᓇᐅᑎᓕᕆᖃᑦᑕᖅᑐᓪᓗ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᓂᕐᒨᖓᔪᓄᑦ ᐃᑲᔫᑕᐅᖃᑦᑕᖅᑐᓪᓗ ᐃᓚᖃᓯᐅᑎᓗᒋᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᓂᖃᓯᐊᖃᑦᑕᓇᐊᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᓂᖃᓯᐊᖃᑦᑕᖏᓐᓂᖃᐅᓇᐊᖏᓐᓂᒡᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᐅᔪᒪᔪᑦ.

# Monitoring Objectives: Nunavut Agreement
## ᖃᐅᔨᓯᐊᖃᑦᑕᕐᓂᕐᒨᖅᒐᔪᑦ ᐱᓇᓱᐊᒐᕆᔭᐅᔪᒃᓴᑦ: ᓄᓇᕗᒥ ᐊᖏᕈᑦ

- Nunavut Agreement Section 12.7.2:
  - *The purpose of a monitoring program set up pursuant to Section 12.7.1 shall be:*
    - *(a) To measure the relevant effects of projects on the ecosystemic and socio-economic environments of the Nunavut Settlement Area;*
    - *(b) To determine whether and to what extent the land and resource use in question is carried out within the predetermined terms and conditions;*
    - *(c) To provide the information base necessary for agencies to enforce terms and conditions of land or resource use approvals; and*
    - *(d) To assess the accuracy of the predictions contained in the project impact assessment.*

12.7.4 and 12.7.5: …While designed to avoid duplication of duties and facilitate coordination of monitoring activities

- ᓄᓇᕗᒥ ᐊᖏᕈᑦ ᐃᓚᖓ 12.7.2:
  - *ᖃᐅᔨᓯᐊᖃᖅᑕᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᖅ ᐱᔾᔪᑎᖃᖅᑐᖅ ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᖅ ᐊᖏᕈᑎᐅᑉ ᐃᓚᖓᑕ ᐃᓚᖓᓐᓃᑦᑐᖅ 12.7.1 ᐱᔾᔪᑎᒋᓪᓗᒍ:*
    - *(a) ᐆᒃᑑᑎᒋᓗᒋᑦ ᐊᒃᑐᐃᓂᖃᕈᓐᓇᖅᑐᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕆᔭᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᖅᑎᑭᓐᓃᑦᑐᓂᒃ ᐃᓅᓗᖕᓂᒃ-ᐱᕙᓪᓕᐊᔾᔪᑎᓂᓪᓗ ᓄᓇᕘᑉ ᐃᓗᐊᓂ;*
    - *(b) ᖃᐅᔨᓇᓱᐊᕈᑕᐅᓗᓂ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᓄᑎᒋ ᓄᓇ ᓄᓇᒥᐅᑕᐃᓪᓗ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᓂᐊᖅᑐᑦ ᖃᓄᑎᒋ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᓂᖃᖅᑎᒋᓂᐊᕆᐊᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᓃᑦᑐᑦ ᐋᖅᑭᒃᓱᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔫᖅᑐᐃᑦ ᒪᓕᓪᓗᒋᑦ;*
    - *(c) ᑐᓴᒐᒃᓴᐅᑎᒃᓴᓂ ᐊᑐᐃᓐᓇᐅᑎᓯᔭᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᖅ ᑲᑐᔾᔨᖃᑎᒋᒋᔭᐅᔪᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᓄᓪᓗ ᐊᖁᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᐅᔪᓪᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᖅᓯᖅᑲᑦᑕᕈᓐᓇᖁᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᓕᐊᖑᓯᒪᔪᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᒥ ᓄᓇᒥᐅᑕᓃᑦᑐᓂᐃᓗ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᓂᐊᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᖏᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔫᖅᑐᖅ; ᐊᒻᒪᓗ*
    - *(d) ᖃᐅᔨᓯᐊᖅᑕᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᓇᓚᐅᑦᓵᖅᑕᐅᓂᑯᐃᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕆᔭᐅᔪᒪᔪᑦ ᐊᒃᑐᐃᓂᖃᕐᓂᐊᕆᐊᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑯᑎᓃᑦᑐᑦ.*

12.7.4 ᐊᒻᒪ 12.7.5: …ᒪᖀᓪᓕᖓᖅᑯᓇᒋᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᔭᐅᖅᑯᔭᐅᔪᓕᐊᖑᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᔾᔪᑎᒃᓴᑦᓯᐊᕙᖅᑲᖅᑯᓪᓗᒍᓗ ᖃᐅᔨᓯᐊᖅᑕᐅᖅᑲᑦᑕᓇᐊᖅᑲᓚᖓᔪᑦ

# Workshop Objectives
# ᑐᕌᕐᕕᐅᓇᓱᐊᕐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑦ ᐅᕐᑲᐅᓯᐅᓂᐊᖅᑐᓪᓗ

- Bring together the Proponent and relevant regulatory authorities to facilitate discussions on the implementation of terms and conditions contained within the Project Certificate into their respective licences, certificates and permits.
- NIRB has sought confirmation from regulatory authorities regarding which terms and conditions will be implemented by each department or agency
  - Focus on priority items requiring discussion and potential clarification in Project Certificate

- ᐅᕐᑲᕐᑲᑎᒌᒃᑎᑕᐅᔪᖕᓇᖅᑐᑦ ᐱᔪᖕᓇᐅᑎᑖᖅᑎᑦᓯᔾᔩᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕐᑲᕈᒪᔪᕐᓗ ᑲᑎᕐᑲᑎᒋᓗᒋᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑕᐅᓂᐊᕐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᓕᐊᖑᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐃᓗᐊᓃᑦᑐᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕐᑲᕐᓂᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᕐᒥ ᓚᐃᓴᓐᓯᑖᕈᑎᓃᑦᑐᑦ, ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᖅᑖᕈᑎᓃᑦᑐᓪᓗ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐱᔪᖕᓇᐅᑎᑖᕈᑎᓃᑦᑐᓪᓗ.
- ᓄᓇᕘᒥ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᑦ ᓇᓕᐊᒃ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᓕᐊᓃᑦᑐᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑕᐅᓛᕆᐊᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᓇᓕᐊᖕᓄᓪᓗ ᒐᕙᒪᒋᔭᐅᔪᒃ ᐊᕕᒃᑐᖅᓯᒪᐅᕐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᕐᑲᑐᔾᔨᕐᑲᑎᒌᒋᔭᐅᔪᓄᓪᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᑐᓴᕋᓱᐊᖅᑐᑦ
  - ᑕᐅᑐᒐᕆᔭᐅᔪᒪᔪᖅ ᓯᕗᓪᓕᐅᔾᔭᐅᕐᑯᔭᐅᔪᑦ ᐅᕐᑲᐅᓯᐅᒃᑲᓐᓂᕆᐊᕐᑲᕐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑦ ᑐᑭᓯᒋᐊᕈᑕᐅᒋᐊᒃᑲᓐᓂᕆᐊᕐᑲᖅᑐᓪᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᑕᒡᕙᓃᑦᑐᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕐᑲᕐᓂᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᑖᕈᑎᓃᑦᑐᓂ

# Workshop Objectives

# ᑐᕌᕐᕕᐅᓇᓱᐊᕐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑦ ᐅᕐᑲᐅᓯᐅᓂᐊᖅᑐᓪᓗ

- We will avoid spending workshop time discussing:
  - the merits of, or justification for, terms and conditions
  - reporting schedules or specific dates for implementation

- Identify specific points of clarification required for terms and conditions prior to finalization and issuance of the Project Certificate by the Board.
  - Are the parameters of any terms and conditions incorrect?
  - Is it clear who implements and when?
  - Is additional commentary or specific clarification required to allow for implementation?

- ᐅᕐᑲᐅᓯᕐᑲᖅᑕᐃᓕᒪᓗᐊᕐᑲᑦᑕᕐᓂᐊᖕᒋᑦ ᓚᕗᑦ :
  - ᐱᒻᒪᕆᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ, ᐱᔾᔪᑎᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ, ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᓂᓪᓗ
  - ᕐᑲᖕᒐ ᐅᕐᑲᐅᓯᐅᓛᕆᐊᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑦᓯᓂᐅᓛᖅᑐᒍᑦ

- ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᓯᓂᖅ ᑐᑭᓯᒋᐊᕈᑎᒃᓴᑲᓐᓂᕈᑎᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᑦ ᐱᔭᕇᖅᑕᐅᓂᐊᓛᖅᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ᑐᓂᔭᐅᓂᐊᓛᖅᑎᓪᓗᒋᓪᓗ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕐᑲᕐᓂᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᒪᔩᓄᑦ.
  - ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᓕᐊᖑᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᑕᐃᓖᖑᙱᑦᑐᖅ ᐋᖅᑭᒃᓯᒪᔫᑦ?
  - ᑐᑭᓯᐅᒪᓐᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᑳ ᑭᒃᑯᓐᓄᑦ ᕐᑲᖕᒐᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑕᐅᓛᕆᐊᕆᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ?
  - ᐅᕐᑲᐅᓯᐅᒃᑲᓐᓂᕆᐊᕐᑲᖅᑐᕐᑲᖅᐹ ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᓇᓕᐊᖕᓄᑐᐃᓐᓇᖅ ᑐᑭᑎᑦᓯᒋᐊᕈᑕᐅᔪᒃᑲᓐᓂᖅᑕᕐᑲᖅᐸᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑕᐅᓂᐊᓛᖅᑎᓪᓗᒋᑦ ?

# Older NIRB terms and conditions ᐱᑐᖃᐅᓂᖅᓴᐃᑦ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᓕᐊᑭᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ

- No. 002:

  *27. All blasting constituents (dynamite, ammonium nitrate, or other components), and any accelerants besides fuel, shall be stored in covered and isolated buildings, well marked as being dangerous. Blasting materials buildings shall be protected according to industry standards. Ammonium nitrate that is spilled must be cleaned up immediately.*

- No. 002:

  *27. ᖄᖅᑎᑕᒐᒃᓴᓕᒫᑦ (ᖄᖅᑎᑕᒐᐃᑦ, ᖄᑭᑎᒃᓴᖓᑕ ᐃᓚᖓ, ᐊᓯᖏᓪᓘᓐᓃᑦ), ᖄᑭᑎᒃᓴᖓ ᐅᖅᓱᒃᓴᑐᐊᖑᙱᑦᑐᖅ, ᒪᑐᒪᔪᒦᑎᑕᐅᖃᑦᑕᕐᓂᐊᖅᐳᑦ ᐃᓕᒃᑰᖓᔪᒦᑎᑕᐅᖃᑦᑕᕐᓗᑎᓪᓗ ᓯᕐᓗᐊᒥ, ᑎᑎᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᕋᐊᖃᑦᑕᕐᓗᑎᓪᓗ ᐅᓗᕆᐊᓇᓪᓚᕆᒋᐊᖓᓐᓂᒃ. ᓯᕐᓗᐊᑦ ᖄᖅᑎᑕᒐᖅᑕᖃᖅᑐᐃᑦ ᐅᔭᕋᖕᑉᓂᐊᖅᑎᓄᑦ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᖃᖅᑐᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᐅᓗᑎᒃ ᓴᐳᒻᒥᔭᐅᕋᐊᖃᑦᑕᕆᐊᖃᖅᑐᑦ. ᐃᓚᒃᓴᖏᑦ ᖄᖅᑎᑕᒐᒃᓴᓄᑦ ᓈᕕᕋᖅᐸᑕ ᕿᓚᒻᒥᐅᓂᖅᐹᖅ ᓴᓗᒻᒪᖅᓴᖅᑕᐅᑦᓴᐅᑎᒋᔭᓇᐊᖅᑲᖅᑐᑦ.*

| **Term and Condition No.** | **xxx** |
|---|---|
| | |
| **Category:** | From Final Hearing Report |
| **Responsible Parties:** | Who is responsible for meeting the term and condition |
| **Project Phase:** | Construction, Operations, Temporary Closure /Care and Maintenance, Closure and Post-Closure Monitoring |
| **Objective:** | Provides a short description of the impact or effect being mitigated. Where relevant, expectations regarding the timing for when terms and conditions will be deemed to be satisfied (i.e. sunset clause), who has discretion for determining it is satisfied has been provided. |
| **Term or Condition:** | From Final Hearing Report |
| **Reporting Requirements:** | To be coordinated with other licensing processes to the extent possible. |

**Commentary:** *Added where required to reflect clarification of the term and condition, recording the common understanding and interpretation resulting from discussions and guidance provided at the project certificate workshop*

| | |
|---|---|
| **ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᖅ No.** | **xxx** |
| | |
| **ᓇᓕᐊᖕᓃᑦᑐᖅ:** | ᑭᖑᓪᓕᖅᐹᕐᒥ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᓕᐊᒥ |
| **ᑎᒥᒋᔭᐅᔪᑦ:** | ᑭᐊᑉ ᑲᒪᒋᓂᐊᖅᐸᐅᒃ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᓕᐊᖑᓯᒪᔪᖅ |
| **ᐱᓇᓱᐊᒐᕆᔭᖅ:** | ᓴᓇᔭᐅᓂᖓ, ᐊᐅᓚᑕᐅᓂᖓ, ᐅᒃᑯᐊᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᑲᐃᓐᓇᕐᓂᕆᓂᐊᖅᑕᖓᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᓂᕆᓂᐊᖅᑕᖓ, ᐅᒃᑯᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖓ ᐅᒃᑯᐊᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᓕᖅᐸᓪᓗ ᖃᐅᔨᒋᐊᖅᑕᐅᖃᑦᑕᕐᓂᕆᓂᐊᖅᑕᖓ |
| **ᐱᓇᓱᐊᒐᖅ:** | ᓇᐃᑦᑑᒐᓗᐊᒥᒃ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᖃᖅᑐᖅ ᖃᒃᑐᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᒥᑦ ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᐊᒃᑐᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᖃᓯᐅᔾᔭᐅᓯᒪᔪᒥᒡᒎᓐᓃᑦ ᐸᓚᐅᒥᓂᔾᔪᓯᖅᑐᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓐᓂᒃ. ᓇᐅᒃᑯᑐᐃᓐᓇᖅ, ᓂᕆᐅᒋᔭᐅᓂᐊᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᖃᖓᓪᓚᕆᐅᓂᐊᕋᓱᒋᔭᐅᓂᖏᑦ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᓕᐊᖑᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐊᑲᐅᒋᔭᐅᓕᕐᓂᐊᕋᓱᒋᔭᐅᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ (i.e. ᐃᓱᓕᑉᐸᓪᓕᐊᓂᖓᓂ ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᐅᒃᑯᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖓᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᖏᕈᑕᐅᔫᑉ ), ᑭᓇᒧᓪᓗ ᐊᑲᐅᒋᔭᖓᑦᑎᒍᑦ ᒪᓕᖕᓂᐊᖅᐸ. |
| **ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᖅ:** | ᑭᖑᓪᓖᑦᑐᒥᒃ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᓕᐊᒥᒃ |
| **ᑐᓴᒐᒃᓴᓕᐅᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᖓ:** | ᐊᐅᓚᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᖃᖅᑐᖅ ᐊᓯᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᓚᐃᓴᓐᓯᑖᕈᑕᐅᓇᓱᐊᕈᑎᐅᔪᓄᑦ. |

ᐅᖃᐅᓯᖅ: ᐃᓚᒋᐊᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᖅ ᑐᑭᓯᒋᐊᕈᑕᐅᓂᐊᕐᓗᓂ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᓕᐊᖑᓯᒪᔪᖅ, *ᑎᑎᕋᖅᑕᐅᓗᓂᓗ ᑐᑭᓯᐅᒪᔭᐅᓂᖓ ᑐᑭᓕᖅᑐᒐᐅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓄ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐊᑐᐊᒐᐃᑦᑐ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ* ᓇᓄᓇᐃᒃᑯᑕᓕᕆᓂᖅ

# Strategic Questions - ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑎᒃᓴᑦᓚᓖᑦ

Strategic questions to Proponents

- Identify the most significant barrier your company experiences in participating in the NIRB’s monitoring process
- Identify the area(s) of the monitoring program that you think would benefit from greater direction or clarification from NIRB
- Identify those items in the NIRB’s yearly monitoring process that create challenges for timing of activities (e.g. where the timing of submission doesn’t match up with the availability of information)
- Are there any other improvements to the NIRB monitoring program that would you like to see in future?

# Strategic Questions - ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑎᒃᓴᓪᓚᓈᑦ

## Strategic questions to Responsible Authorities

- Identify the most significant barrier your organization experiences in participating in the NIRB’s monitoring process.
- Identify the most significant limitation to incorporating NIRB monitoring program terms and conditions into permits/authorizations, suggestions as to how these limitations can best be addressed by the NIRB.
- Are there mechanisms that could be used to more clearly establish communication between responsible authorities and the NIRB that would make it easier to collect and exchange the information from all the regulators with regulatory monitoring programs for a given Project?
- Is there something that the NIRB/responsible agencies can do to support this on-going participation in the NIRB’s monitoring of the project?
- Recognizing that there are currently no Project Certificates governed by NuPPAA, does INAC have any thoughts on ensuring that the NIRB’s future monitoring programs are prepared in a fashion that makes the programs enforceable as will be the case for all terms and conditions of a NIRB Project Certificate issued under NuPPAA?

# Strategic Questions - ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑎᒃᓴᓪᓚᓈᑦ

## Discussion items on Cost vs Results

### Proponent Questions

- Considering the costs of monitoring, including items such as data collection, in-person meetings/working groups, and processing of data which aspects of your monitoring program would you consider to be: (a) the most costly; (b) most important; and (c) best "value" for the costs incurred?

### Responsible Authority Questions

- Considering the costs of monitoring, including items such as data collection, in-person meetings/working groups, and processing of data which aspects of a Proponent's monitoring program would you expect to be: (a) the most costly; (b) most important; and (c) best "value" for the costs incurred?
- On which aspects of the monitoring program does each agency consider that they receive the least/most information from current monitoring processes, and which aspects of monitoring do they find most useful?
- In future refinements of monitoring programs, what issues would they like to see monitored more closely to inform their agency and other ongoing EA processes?

# Strategic Questions - ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑎᒃᓯᑦᓚᓈᑦ

Considerations for Regulatory Authorities on opportunities to coordinate

- Given direction that the NIRB, NPC, and NWB coordinate to reduce duplication, what are some opportunities that Parties would request that the NIRB consider in planning for coordination? What are some challenges that parties have found with coordination processes undertaken to date especially with regards to project monitoring?
- Often the reporting timelines specified in NIRB's monitoring program are intended to line up with the timelines for other regulatory reporting; is this truly a good fit or are there better ways to coordinate monitoring activities, align comment periods, etc.

# CLOSING REMARKS

## ᐅᕐᑯᐊᖅᓯᕙᓪᓚᐊᔾᔭᑏᑦ ᐅᖅᑲᐅᓰᑦ