



Kivalliq Wildlife Board

ᐅᐱᐱᐱᐱ ᐅᐱᐱᐱᐱ ᐅᐱᐱᐱᐱ ᐅᐱᐱᐱᐱ ᐅᐱᐱᐱᐱ

- Kivalliq ᐅᐱᐱᐱᐱ
- Arviat ᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱ
- Baker Lake ᐅᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱ
- Chesterfield Inlet ᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱ
- Coral Harbour ᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱ
- Nauyasat ᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱ
- Rankin Inlet ᐅᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱ
- Whale Cove ᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱ

	<p>These modifications have been made clear during assessment, and based on the Nunavut Project Planning and Assessment Act Sections 141 and 142, the modifications may necessitate that “the assessment of the original project is terminated and an assessment of the modified project be carried out”. At this point, it is unclear if the 2020 FEIS Addendum meets NIRB’s conformity requirements for the finalized scope of the project. Furthermore, intervenors need time to prepare information requests and technical review comments based on the proposed modifications, especially if additional impact statements are made based on those modifications.</p>
<p>Information Request:</p>	<p>Clarification on how the finalized project scope, which includes significant modifications from the originally proposed project impacts the review process and whether the assessment of the original project should be terminated and an assessment of the modified project should be carried out.</p>

IR Source:	Kivalliq Wildlife Board
IR Number:	IR-2
IR Directed To:	Nunavut Impact Review Board
Subject:	Clarification about whether “potential alternative options” are under technical review.
Reference:	<p>2020 FEIS Addendum (pg. 21)</p> <p>Agnico Eagle Ltr NIRB Re Scope Clarification</p> <p>NIRB Ltr Dist Re Finalized Scope</p>
Issue/Concern:	<p>The project proposal appears to be requesting a discharge rate of 6,000 – 12,000 cmpd of groundwater effluent. However, there is also a “potential alternative option” to increase the maximum volume of discharge to 20,000 cmpd (which could include a maximum volume of 8,000 cmpd of surface contact water). It is unclear how intervenors should be reviewing potential alternative options.</p>
Information Request:	<p>Clarification on how potential alternative options should be considered by intervenors. Are they part of the project under review or not?</p>



Kivalliq Wildlife Board

ᐱᑖᑦᑦᑦᑦ ᐅᐱᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦ ᑲᑲᐱᑦᑦᑦᑦ

- Kivalliq ᐱᑖᑦᑦᑦᑦ
- Arviat ᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦ
- Baker Lake ᑦᑦᐱᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦ
- Chesterfield Inlet ᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦ
- Coral Harbour ᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦ
- Nauyasat ᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦ
- Rankin Inlet ᑲᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦ
- Whale Cove ᑲᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦᑦ

IR Directed To:	Agnico Eagle Mines Ltd.
Subject:	Noise disturbances to caribou, birds, and other terrestrial wildlife
Reference:	2020 FEIS Addendum (pgs. 68, 77-78, 81-82)
Issue/Concern:	AEM has noted that caribou, birds and other terrestrial wildlife may experience sensory disturbances from noise. They note that they will use “equipment noise control systems” and “ongoing noise monitoring along the AWAR” to mitigate the noise without providing details about these mitigation measures.
Information Request:	That AEM provides specific details on the “equipment noise control systems” and noise monitoring along the AWAR.

IR Source:	Kivalliq Wildlife Board
IR Number:	IR-12
IR Directed To:	Agnico Eagle Mines Ltd.
Subject:	Noise disturbances to caribou, birds, and other terrestrial wildlife
Reference:	2020 FEIS Addendum (pgs. 68, 77-78, 81-82)
Issue/Concern:	AEM has noted that caribou, birds and other terrestrial wildlife may experience sensory disturbances from noise. Their analysis only concerns noise coming from construction equipment and heavy machinery. They have not analyzed potential noises or vibrations from the pipelines (e.g., water hammer or water pumps) that may impact terrestrial and avian wildlife.
Information Request:	An analysis of anticipated noises and vibrations from the operation of the pipeline and their potential impacts on caribou, birds, and terrestrial wildlife.

IR Source:	Kivalliq Wildlife Board
IR Number:	IR-13
IR Directed To:	Agnico Eagle Mines Ltd.
Subject:	Caribou collar data analyses.



Kivalliq Wildlife Board

ᐱᓕᓕᓐᓂᓐ ᐅᓕᓕᓐᓂᓐ ᐱᓕᓕᓐᓂᓐ ᐱᓕᓕᓐᓂᓐ

Kivalliq
ᐱᓕᓕᓐᓂᓐ
Arviat
ᐱᓕᓕᓐᓂᓐ
Baker Lake
ᐱᓕᓕᓐᓂᓐ
Chesterfield Inlet
ᐱᓕᓕᓐᓂᓐ
Coral Harbour
ᐱᓕᓕᓐᓂᓐ
Nauyasat
ᐱᓕᓕᓐᓂᓐ
Rankin Inlet
ᐱᓕᓕᓐᓂᓐ
Whale Cove
ᐱᓕᓕᓐᓂᓐ

IR Source:	Kivalliq Wildlife Board
IR Number:	IR-20
IR Directed To:	Agnico Eagle Mines Ltd.
Subject:	Review of the water treatment plant monitoring and reporting practices
Reference:	2020 FEIS Addendum (pgs. 51)
Issue/Concern:	AEM mentions that a review of the water treatment plant monitoring and reporting practices is underway in the FEIS Addendum. Where is the water treatment plant monitoring and reporting practices report? What were its findings?
Information Request:	That the review of the water treatment plant monitoring and reporting practices be added to the NIRB public registry.

Groundwater Effluent Discharge

IR Source:	Kivalliq Wildlife Board
IR Number:	IR-21
IR Directed To:	Agnico Eagle Mines Ltd.
Subject:	Clarification on discharge timing
Reference:	2020 FEIS Addendum (pgs. i, vi, 36, 62, 75-76)
Issue/Concern:	<p>AEM notes that the discharge of treated groundwater effluent will occur “every year when there is no ice on Melvin Bay” and they note this time being from May to October. Melvin Bay is covered with ice in May (Appendix A of the 2020 FEIS Addendum notes that AEM recorded the thickest ice on the bay in May). It is well known that Kivallirmiut travel over the ice of Melvin Bay until late June (and even into early July some years).</p> <p>AEM has requested a discharge rate of 6,000 to 12,000 cmpd during the ice-free season in order to deplete their inventory of groundwater effluent (with a potential alternative discharge maximum of 20,000</p>

