

Δαλφαια Α: Ψαηααα Δααα αααααααααααα αααααααααααα



COMMENT FORM FOR NIRB SCREENINGS

The Nunavut Impact Review Board (NIRB) has a mandate to protect the integrity of the ecosystem for the existing and future residents of Nunavut. To assess the environmental and socio-economic impacts of the project proposal, NIRB would like to hear your concerns, comments and suggestions about the following project proposal application:

Project Proposal Title:	Passive Acoustic Monitoring and UAV Assessment of the Impacts of Shipping and Development on High Arctic Beluga Whales and Narwhals		
Proponent:	Fisheries and Oceans Canada		
Location:	Qikiqtani (North Baffin) Region		
Comments Due By:	May 18, 2022	NIRB #:	22YN021

Indicate your concerns about the project proposal below:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> no concerns
<input type="checkbox"/> water quality
<input type="checkbox"/> terrain
<input type="checkbox"/> air quality
<input type="checkbox"/> wildlife and their habitat
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> marine mammals and their habitat
<input type="checkbox"/> birds and their habitat
<input type="checkbox"/> fish and their habitat
<input type="checkbox"/> heritage resources in area | <input type="checkbox"/> traditional uses of land
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Inuit harvesting activities
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> community involvement and consultation
<input type="checkbox"/> local development in the area
<input type="checkbox"/> tourism in the area
<input type="checkbox"/> human health issues
<input type="checkbox"/> other: _____

_____ |
|---|---|

Please describe the concerns indicated above:

The Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA) represents and advocates for Inuit in the Qikiqtani region of Nunavut. QIA supports wildlife research projects that improve knowledge on species of socio-economic and cultural importance to Inuit. Such research should however be conducted in a manner that minimizes negative impacts on wildlife, the environment, and Inuit rights while also maximizing community involvement, training, employment, and consultation. QIA's questions and recommendations address these concerns and offer suggestions to ensure the proposed project minimizes negative impacts while maximizing benefits.

Do you have any suggestions or recommendations for this application?

The project proposes to collect biopsy (skin) samples from narwhal and beluga whales for genetic studies, and to deploy satellite tags to study movements, distribution and migratory patterns. The project proposes to satellite tag up to 10 whales, and up to 30 biopsies will be collected. Tags and biopsy darts will be remotely deployed using a crossbow or a CO2-powered rifle, with no capture and handling of animals proposed. The biopsy procedure proposed is well-established and has been used extensively in Canadian cetacean research. Most narwhal and beluga satellite-tagging in Canada to date, however, has involved the capture (using nets) and physical restraint of animals during the tagging process. Remote tagging is less invasive, and Inuit are largely supportive of attempts to reduce the invasive nature of wildlife research. Has the crossbow deployment method for satellite tagging been used on either of these species



in Canadian waters, or elsewhere? Is tag design informed by experiences with these species in Canada or elsewhere?

The proposed project will involve experienced marine mammal researchers and includes an Inuk harvester from Pangnirtung. Six people are listed on the AUP application, including one Nunavummiut researcher from Pangnirtung. There will be a total of six personnel on site (as per the NIRB application). Will Mr. Kilabuk be participating in the field work in Creswell Bay, or just in Cumberland Sound (the Animal Use Protocol (AUP) includes both study areas)? Two Resolute Bay community members are listed on the NIRB application, will both participate in the field program? Will other local Inuit be hired?

The project proposes to tag up to 10 whales in Creswell Bay, where both beluga whales and narwhals may be encountered. How will the tag distribution be prioritized by species? Will the project prioritize belugas, narwhals, or an even mix of both?

On an individual animal basis, what is the priority per animal, tagging or biopsy sampling? AUP section 23d suggests tagging will be prioritized. Will all tags be deployed before any biopsy samples are collected?

Attempts to biopsy sample or satellite tag animals will end after 20 minutes (or 10 minutes during periods of high air temperatures) if unsuccessful or if the target animal displays “active avoidance of the research vessel”. How is active avoidance defined, and how will it be monitored? QIA requests that DFO collect data and report back to NIRB and other interested parties on the time each whale is actively engaged with prior to sampling (tag or biopsy), the number of events which are ended after 20 minutes without sampling, and the number of events in which sampling attempts were discontinued due to active avoidance.

QIA supports drone-based research conducted in a manner that minimizes potential disturbance to marine mammals and Inuit harvesters. The 20 metre minimum height should be sufficient to reduce potential disturbance to narwhal and belugas, but will this be monitored and adjusted if required? Has DFO consulted with Inuit organizations (e.g., Resolute Bay HTA) on ways to conduct drone operations that do not interfere with harvesting activities?

Inuit have also expressed concerns that passive acoustic monitoring (PAM) devices could disturb marine wildlife. Has consultation on this aspect of the project been conducted?

Has the research team considered how their field project could potentially interfere with Inuit harvesting activities, and what procedures can be employed to reduce or eliminate any interference? For example, will the field team attempt to biopsy or satellite-tag whales while active harvesting is on-going, should there be such activities occurring in the area?

What measures are in place to prevent or avoid ship strikes on whales? Prop strikes may be unlikely but the proposed measures are not clear in the application.

QIA also notes and supports the relevant comments from other organizations, including Transport Canada’s statements regarding legal requirements for drone flights; CIRNAC recommendations re:

መልካም ጥንቃቄ ትኩረት ኖሎኛውን ማረጋገጥ	ከባለ የመልካም ጥንቃቄ አካባቢ ጋር ጋራ ክብር ጋር ጋራ	የመልካም ጥንቃቄ ትኩረት ኖሎኛውን ማረጋገጥ ለጥንቃቄ (SARA)	ሌሎች ጥንቃቄ ለማረጋገጥ የሚያስፈልጉትን ጥንቃቄ
ጥንቃቄ የሚያሳይ ጥንቃቄ (Rusty Blackbird)	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ 1	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ
ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ (Short-eared Owl)	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ 1	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ
ጥንቃቄ			
ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ (Porsild's Bryum)	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ 1	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ
ጥንቃቄ			
ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ (Transverse Lady Beetle)	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ
ጥንቃቄ ትኩረት			
ጥንቃቄ (ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ)	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ 1	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ
ጥንቃቄ (ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ)	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ
ጥንቃቄ (ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ)	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ
ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ 1	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ
ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ 1	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ
ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ 1	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ
ጥንቃቄ ትኩረት			
ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ (ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ)	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ
ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ (ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ)	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ
ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ (ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ)	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ 1	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ
ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ (ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ)	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ
ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ (ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ)	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ
ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ (ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ)	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ
ጥንቃቄ			
ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ (ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ)	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ
ጥንቃቄ (Fourhorn Sculpin) (ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ)	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ 3	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ
ጥንቃቄ (Lumpfish)	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ
ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ	ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ ጥንቃቄ

Guidelines for Developers for the Protection of Archaeological Resources in the Nunavut Territory

(Note: Partial document only, complete document at: www.ch.gov.nu.ca/en/Archaeology.aspx)

Introduction

The following guidelines have been formulated to ensure that the impacts of proposed developments upon heritage resources are assessed and mitigated before ground surface altering activities occur. Heritage resources are defined as, but not limited to, archaeological and historical sites, burial grounds, palaeontological sites, historic buildings and cairns. Effective collaboration between the developer, the Department of Culture, and Heritage (CH), and the contract archaeologist(s) will ensure proper preservation of heritage resources in the Nunavut Territory. The roles of each are briefly described.

CH is the Nunavut Government agency which oversees the protection and management of heritage resources in Nunavut, in partnership with land claim authorities, regulatory agencies, and the federal government. Its role in mitigating impacts of developments on heritage resources is as follows: to identify the need for an impact assessment and make recommendations to the appropriate regulatory agency; set the terms of reference for the study depending upon the scope of the development; suggest the names of qualified individuals prepared to undertake the study to the developer; issue an archaeologist or palaeontologist permit authorizing field work; assess the completeness of the study and its recommendations; and ensure that the developer complies with the recommendations.

The primary regulatory agencies that CH provides information and assistance to are the Nunavut Impact Review Board, for development activities proposed for Inuit Owned Lands (as defined in Section 1.1.1 of the *Agreement between the Inuit of the Nunavut Settlement Area and Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada (Nunavut Agreement)*), and the Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada, for development activities proposed for federal Crown Lands.

A developer is the initiator of a land use activity. It is the obligation of the developer to ensure that a qualified archaeologist or palaeontologist is hired to perform the required study and that provisions of the contract with the archaeologist or palaeontologist allow permit requirements to be met; i.e. fieldwork, collections management, artifact and specimen conservation, and report preparation. On the recommendation of the contract archaeologist or palaeontologist in the field and the Government of Nunavut, the developer shall implement avoidance or mitigative measures to protect heritage resources or to salvage the information they contain through excavation, analysis, and report writing. The developer assumes all costs associated with the study in its entirety.

viability in the context of the development project. Mitigation strategies must be developed in consultation with, and approved by, the Department of Culture and Heritage. It is important to note that mitigation activities should be initiated as far in advance of the construction of the development as possible.

Surveillance and monitoring: These may be required as part of the mitigation program.

Surveillance may be conducted during the construction phase of a project to ensure that the developer has complied with the recommendations.

Monitoring involves identification and inspection of residual and long-term impacts of a development (i.e. shoreline stability of a reservoir); or the use of impacts to disclose the presence of heritage resources, for example, the uncovering of buried sites during the construction of a pipeline.