





































































Forum Energy Corp. Review Comments

Reviewer	Comment	Response	Attachment
<p>P, Idviat</p>	<p>P. Idviat: (June 14, 2022) <i>“uranium and metals will have an impact on our environment especially with our wildlife we depend on as our daily source of foods, such as our fish mitigations on our herds and now with ECCC information with declining of our herds and already weve seen changes in the movements of our wildlife,yea for others for future use in job creations some wang it but still with explorations working and drilling still gonna affect our environment with our water and what our herds feed on ,the most impact weve seen is air traffic and during calving and now with our climate changing noticing high water levels and by thaw out going into our fresh waters and changes in each year with our environment ,starting to see different colorations in environment and changes in the mitigations where wild life walks, Where the old drill sites arent cleaned up properly and that powdered area never goes away quick and will stick around and will not revive back to its natural course”</i></p>	<p>Forum Energy Metals Corp. (FEMC) would like to thank the reviewer for his comments and for attendance and participation in the meeting with the Baker Lake Hunters and Trappers Organization (BLHTO) on June 22, 2022.</p> <p>FEMC would like BLHTO, as well as the Kivalliq Inuit Association (KIA) Community Lands and Resources Committee (CLARC) to appoint wildlife monitors that will have the authority to direct the company to suspend operations if wildlife are in the area in accordance with the KIA’s Mobile Mitigation Measures as well as Crown Indigenous Relations and Northern Affairs Canada (CIRNAC)’s Caribou Protection Measures.</p> <p>Forum commits to reducing any impact on the environment and the wildlife by strictly following the terms and conditions of all licenses and permits.</p> <p>No low-level flights will be allowed except for take off, landing and in the case of an emergency.</p>	<p>Forum Response to meetings held in Baker Lake.</p> <p><a href="https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:919448d1-70a0-3cc2-b8f2-b46135796aea">https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:919448d1-70a0-3cc2-b8f2-b46135796aea</a></p> <p>appendices including pictures</p> <p><a href="https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:1080bb5e-ae9d-3ec9-b56f-c7d7e488fff7">https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:1080bb5e-ae9d-3ec9-b56f-c7d7e488fff7</a></p> <p>CIRNAC signed final inspection</p> <p><a href="https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:55f96e87-b3b9-3e5f-a727-d6a56d5359ba">https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:55f96e87-b3b9-3e5f-a727-d6a56d5359ba</a></p> <p>KIA mobile mitigation measures</p> <p><a href="https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:44208b21-3f98-3dd7-a96f-60075ef3df39">https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:44208b21-3f98-3dd7-a96f-60075ef3df39</a></p>

		<p>On its claims previously explored by its predecessor company, Forum Uranium, FEMC removed its camp in two stages when it decided to suspend operations in 2015 and regrets that it took several years to cut drill casings to the ground level as directed by the CIRNAC inspector. FEMC commits to cutting drill casings to ground level and remediating drill sites immediately following completion of each drill hole. A representative from the KIA CLARC may inspect all drill sites upon completion of the program.</p>	
<p>Billie Jo Ukpatiku.</p>	<p>Billie Jo Ukpatiku. (June 20, 2022) <i>“Indicate your concerns about the project proposal below:  - Water quality, Terrain, - Air quality, - Traditional uses of land, - Local development in the area, - Human health issues, - Fish and their habitat, - Other: Caribou and grizzly bear migration is in and around the spot where the company want to explore for uranium. Please describe the concerns indicated above: Migration will be forced to halt. Environmental team not doing a good job with caribou migration with Agnico Eagle, one migration already interrupted, Baker Lake doesn’t need another interruption where environmental team will not do anything. Do you have any suggestions or recommendations for this application? I oppose to the exploration for uranium, the community members thrive on what is left for us to hunt. Any additional comments?  Thank you for your time</i></p>	<p>Forum would like to thank the reviewer for the comments and would like to reinforce its commitment to working closely together with the BLHTO by hiring wildlife monitors who will have the authority to suspend operations when wildlife are in the area, and allow the caribou to pass without disturbance from exploration activities. The Company commits to working within the terms and conditions of its licences and permits especially with respect to the KIA’s Mobile Mitigation Measures and CIRNAC’s Caribou Protection Measures.</p>	<p>KIA mobile mitigation measures</p> <p><a href="https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:44208b21-3f98-3dd7-a96f-60075ef3df39">https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:44208b21-3f98-3dd7-a96f-60075ef3df39</a></p>

	<p><i>Do you support the project proposal? No</i></p>	<p>Forum does not want to negatively impact the environment and wildlife and believes that working together with the BLHTO, they can achieve that goal.</p> <p>Forum Energy Metals Corp. does not mine and is only planning to conduct exploration activities, mainly drilling.</p>	
<p>Jean M. Pudnak</p>	<p><i>Jean M. Pudnak (June 20, 2022) If this exploration group already left pipes in the ground, how are we going to trust them? I am concerned for the future of our children, grandchildren, great grandchildren and so on even us who are here right now if there were spills/leaks. The air quality, land, water would be a devastation if there was some spill/leak etc... Our Beverly and Qamanirjuaq herd will end up losing their route which goes up that way and we will end up losing thousands of caribou. It is not just one herd but two herds that goes through that route for thousands of years! This would disturb their usual route and we will end up without our traditional harvesting. Especially with inflation happening right now. We already haven't had much caribou the last 10 years or so. And companies and exploration groups always promise not to disturb the caribou herds but they still scare them away using helicopters flying low and chasing them away. And if there was a spill, it is right next to the Thelon River which is also under the Territorial and National Parks! Not only that it is our ONLY drinking water, what then if our only drinking water source is destroyed? They will end up leaving us and us dying. We will have no help. Our whole community will die so quick and there will be no more Baker Lakers. All the birds, fish, caribou</i></p>	<p>Forum Energy Metals Corp. would like to thank the reviewer for her comments and for attending the Public Meeting held on June 20, 2022, in Baker Lake. Unfortunately, at the public meeting, statements were made without representatives from the Company being able to answer properly and thoroughly without interruption. In addition, the photographs that were circulated by Joan Scottie, and said to be on the Company's previous exploration site, were determined by CIRNAC to belonging to another company and not Forum Uranium.</p> <p>It took Forum Uranium two seasons to remove its camp and subsequent time to cut drill casings to ground level and commits that this will not happen in the future. Pictures of each drill site will be taken prior to drilling and after drilling and</p>	<p>Forum Response to meetings held in Baker Lake.</p> <p><a href="https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:919448d1-70a0-3cc2-b8f2-b46135796aea">https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:919448d1-70a0-3cc2-b8f2-b46135796aea</a></p> <p>appendices including pictures</p> <p><a href="https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:1080bb5e-ae9d-3ec9-b56f-c7d7e488fff7">https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:1080bb5e-ae9d-3ec9-b56f-c7d7e488fff7</a></p> <p>CIRNAC signed final inspection</p> <p><a href="https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:55f96e87-b3b9-3e5f-a727-d6a56d5359ba">https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:55f96e87-b3b9-3e5f-a727-d6a56d5359ba</a></p> <p>KIA mobile mitigation measures</p> <p><a href="https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:44208b21-3f98-3dd7-a96f-60075ef3df39">https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:44208b21-3f98-3dd7-a96f-60075ef3df39</a></p> <p>FEMC Spill Plan</p> <p><a href="https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:503759fb-7b78-31cc-9c26-a478b070935a">https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:503759fb-7b78-31cc-9c26-a478b070935a</a></p> <p>GN Uranium Policy</p> <p><a href="#">GN announces Uranium Policy Statement   Government of Nunavut</a></p>

*and other animals will be destroyed along with us people of Baker Lake. Uranium is not a source for Climate change! It is a source for wars. Climate is already happening who can help but us? And that is to say no to the experts and start of a Uranium Mine! Any additional comments? I say no to this proposal as it will destroy us people, we will be gone and our land, animals and birds.  
Do you support the project proposal?: No*

shared with the regulators. Drill sites will be remediated immediately after drilling.

Forum Energy Metals Corp. is fully committed to working closely with the Baker Lake Hunters and Trappers Organization, and other interested citizens to ensure that the project is respectful of wildlife and the environment. Wildlife monitors contracted through the HTO will have the authority to suspend activities until wildlife have moved an approved distance away.

Low level flights are not allowed, (except for take off and landing and in the case of an emergency) and the Company commits to working strictly within the terms and conditions of all licenses and permits.

As shown in the accompanying map, the sites proposed for drilling are not close to the Thelon River. FEMC understand the concern regarding spills and water contamination. A Spill Plan with strict reporting and treatment plans have been filed with regulators. Forum will conduct all exploration activities while respecting the land and the wildlife.

Map

<https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:00736e9a-44a5-3ac1-aadc-065d16d442c7>

		<p>The Government of Nunavut, Uranium Policy states, “<i>The Government of Nunavut will support the exploration and mining of uranium subject to the following principles: <b>Uranium mined in Nunavut shall be used only for peaceful and environmentally responsible purposes.</b> Nunavummiut must be the major beneficiaries of uranium exploration and mining activities.</i>”.</p>	
<p>K.Aupaluktuq</p>	<p>The Nunavut Uranium Project to conduct uranium exploration activities on Aberdeen Lake and the vicinity of Gerhard Lake will arouse significant public concern due to the fact that the area is close to the community of Baker Lake. Baker Lake is the only inland community with fresh water in Nunavut. The area of exploration is right by one of the rivers that flow into the community which would be a major concern to the public. The land is also a place where some our ancestors travelled through before colonization and Inuit still travel to for hunting and fishing purposes to this day. It is a migratory route for caribou which will be disrupted by exploration.</p> <p>The purposed area is also known as calving grounds for caribou. There have been recent declines in the numbers of caribou in Nunavut. The Baffin and the Kitkmeot regions have had restrictions on hunting caribou due to the decline. The public certainly does not want to have the same restrictions.</p> <p>Exploration in the area could disrupt calving in the area. There have been many attempts in the past to explore uranium in the area with no success. It is very clear that Inuit want</p>	<p>Forum Energy Metals Corp. Would like to thank the reviewer for her comments and understands the concern regarding contamination of fresh water and would like to state that procedures and plans (e.g., Spill Plan) will be in place to avoid any contamination. Forum’s camp and drill areas are shown on the accompanying map and will be a long distance from the Thelon River and caribou migration areas. Forum understands the Archaeological significance of the proposed exploration area and has contracted a archaeological consultant to conduct a field archaeological assessment prior to any ground disturbance on the recommendation of the Government of Nunavut Department of Culture and</p>	<p>Forum Response to meetings held in Baker Lake.</p> <p><a href="https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:919448d1-70a0-3cc2-b8f2-b46135796aea">https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:919448d1-70a0-3cc2-b8f2-b46135796aea</a></p> <p>appendices including pictures</p> <p><a href="https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:1080bb5e-ae9d-3ec9-b56f-c7d7e488fff7">https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:1080bb5e-ae9d-3ec9-b56f-c7d7e488fff7</a></p> <p>CIRNAC signed final inspection</p> <p><a href="https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:55f96e87-b3b9-3e5f-a727-d6a56d5359ba">https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:55f96e87-b3b9-3e5f-a727-d6a56d5359ba</a></p> <p>KIA mobile mitigation measures</p> <p><a href="https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:44208b21-3f98-3dd7-a96f-60075ef3df39">https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:44208b21-3f98-3dd7-a96f-60075ef3df39</a></p> <p>FEMC Spill Plan</p> <p><a href="https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:503759fb-7b78-31cc-9c26-a478b070935a">https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:503759fb-7b78-31cc-9c26-a478b070935a</a></p>

	<p>to protect the area of concern. It is the land of their ancestors, they want to protect the wildlife and the water source. Yes, exploration/mining may have some benefits for the community but that would only last as long as the exploration/mine. The damage to the land would have life long effects. It would never go back to the way it was. There are serious dangers with uranium exploration. Yes, there are procedures to try to prevent any damages but they aren't certain. The last exploration company said they would follow policies and procedures but there is evidence that they didn't follow through. It was said they would clean up the area and wouldn't leave anything behind however, after site visits there were samples left along with some other products. Uranium is a radioactive substance which is unstable and produces dangerous kinds of radiation. Exposure to uranium can result in both chemical and radiological toxicity. Exploration leads to mining and uranium mining has widespread effects contaminating the environment with radioactive dust, radon gas and water-borne toxins. After uranium is extracted from rock, the processes leave behind radioactive waste. The dangers of uranium exploration and mining are too much of a risk for the people of Baker Lake, the wildlife and the environment.</p>	<p>Heritage.</p> <p>Local wildlife Monitors will be contracted through the BLHTO to ensure that the Company is complying with the terms and conditions of their licenses and permits. e.g., no low-level flights (With the exception of take-off, landing and in case of an emergency).</p> <p>The Wildlife Monitors will have the authority to suspend all exploration activities in accordance with the KIA's Mobile Mitigation Measures until wildlife has moved an approved distance away.</p> <p>Regretfully, at the public meeting, statements were made without representatives from the Company being able to answer properly and thoroughly without interruption. In addition, the photographs that were circulated by Joan Scottie, and said to be on the Company's previous exploration site, were determined by CIRNAC to belonging to another company and not Forum Uranium.</p> <p>Forum Uranium did take two seasons to remove its camp and subsequent time to cut drill</p>	<p>Map</p> <p><a href="https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:00736e9a-44a5-3ac1-aadc-065d16d442c7">https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:00736e9a-44a5-3ac1-aadc-065d16d442c7</a></p>
--	---	---	---

		casings to ground level and has committed to not letting this happen again. CIRNAC signed off on all remediation and the company is in good standing with all their previous licences and permits.	
Kivalliq Inuit Association	<p>KIA (July 4, 2022)  Kivalliq Inuit Association (KIA) (July 4, 2022)  <b>NIRB Question:</b> <i>Whether the proposed project is likely to arouse significant public concern.</i>  <b>KivIA Answer:</b> <i>The project is a uranium exploration project so it will arouse some public concern. This concern was evident at the public meeting held by Forum Energy Metals Corp. in Baker Lake on the evening of June 20, 2022. One of the attendees from Baker Lake gave a short presentation with copies of photographs showing drill casing above ground level and steel cables from a site visited in 2012. Forum Energy Metals Corp. (Forum) still retains the mineral claims that they held in 2012. In addition, claims formerly held by Cameco Corporation have been acquired by Forum. It is still uncertain if the photographs shown at the June 20th meeting are related to previous work by Forum Energy Metals Corp. or work related to Cameco Corporation from the same period. The following is recommended by the KivIA:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>1) Forum provide copies of the final inspection reports by CIRNAC and the KivIA from the 2012 period for their properties,</i></li> <li><i>2) Forum provide copies of the final inspection reports on the public record by CIRNAC and the KivIA from the 2012 period for the Cameco Corporation properties, and</i></li> <li><i>3) Forum provide written responses to the questions asked at the June 20th, 2022 meeting held in Baker Lake.</i></li> </ol>	<p>Forum Energy Metals Corp. thanks the KIA for having representatives at the Public Meeting held in Baker Lake on June 20, 2022, and for the Community Lands and Resources Committee on June 22, 2022.</p> <p>In response to KIA recommendations, Forum Energy Metals Corp. commits to providing to the KIA:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>1) copies of the final inspection reports by CIRNAC (attached) and the KivIA from the 2012 period for their properties,</i></li> </ol> <p>Forum has asked the KivIA to provide copies of their inspection reports.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>2) copies of the final inspection reports on the public record by CIRNAC and the KivIA from the 2012 period for the Cameco Corporation properties, and</i></li> </ol> <p>Forum will obtain these and provide them to the KIA</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>3) written responses to the questions asked at the June</i></li> </ol>	<p>CIRNAC signed final inspection</p> <p><a href="https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:55f96e87-b3b9-3e5f-a727-d6a56d5359ba">https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:55f96e87-b3b9-3e5f-a727-d6a56d5359ba</a></p> <p>Forum Response to meetings held in Baker Lake.</p> <p><a href="https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:919448d1-70a0-3cc2-b8f2-b46135796aea">https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:919448d1-70a0-3cc2-b8f2-b46135796aea</a></p> <p>appendices including pictures</p> <p><a href="https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:1080bb5e-ae9d-3ec9-b56f-c7d7e488fff7">https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:1080bb5e-ae9d-3ec9-b56f-c7d7e488fff7</a></p>

	<p><b>NIRB Question:</b> Whether the proposed project is likely to cause significant adverse ecosysytemic or socio-economic effects</p> <p><b>KivIA Answer:</b> The project as proposed is likely not to cause significant adverse ecosysytemic or socio-economic effects.</p> <p><b>NIRB Question:</b> Whether the proposed project is likely to cause significant adverse impacts on wildlife habitat or Inuit harvest activities.</p> <p><b>KivIA Answer:</b> The project as proposed is likely not to cause significant adverse impacts on wildlife habitat or Inuit harvest activities.</p> <p><b>NIRB Question:</b> Whether the proposed project is a type where the potentially adverse effects are highly predictable and can be prevented/managed appropriately with known technology.</p> <p><b>KivIA Answer:</b> The project as proposed is a type where the potentially adverse effects are highly predictable and can be prevented/managed appropriately with known technology.</p> <p><b>General KivIA Comments:</b>  <b>The KivIA would like responses from Forum and the NIRB in regard to the following questions/comments:</b></p> <p>1) The number and size of the sleds and komatiks to be used with the SnowCats, Challengers and Deltas’s for overland hauling during 2023 should be added to the Equipment lists in the NIRB application and in the Abandonment &amp; Restoration Plan.</p> <p>2) There is reference in the NIRB Notice of Screening for this project dated June 14, 2022 under the 2023 activities of “Transportation, storage and use of up to six (6) 50,000 Litre (L) double walled fuel tanks at the campsite.” However, there is no reference in the actual application of these tanks. The only reference is</p>	<p>20th, 2022, meeting held in Baker Lake.</p> <p><b>The KivIA would like responses from Forum and the NIRB in regard to the following questions/comments:</b></p> <p>1) The number and size of the sleds and komatiks to be used with the SnowCats, Challengers and Deltas’s for overland hauling during 2023 should be added to the Equipment lists in the NIRB application and in the Abandonment &amp; Restoration Plan.</p> <p>Forum:  Forum will contract Peter’s Expediting Ltd. (PEL) to do the overland haul to its camp location. PEL will use two Delta tractors with tundra tires and three rubber tracked vehicles that each will haul 40-foot sleds of supplies.</p> <p>Thank you and the NIRB application as well as the Abandonment and Restoration Plan will be updated.</p> <p>2) There is reference in the NIRB Notice of Screening for this project dated June 14, 2022 under the 2023 activities of “Transportation, storage and use of up to six (6) 50,000 Litre (L) double walled fuel tanks at the campsite.” However, there is no reference in the actual</p>	
--	--	---	--

<p>to 683 drums of JET A, 708 drums of Diesel (P-50) and 2 drums of gasoline.</p> <p><b>3)</b> In addition to before and after pictures there should be a final inspection sheet signed off by the project manager, or their designate, for each drill hole site.</p> <p><b>4)</b> When the temporary campsite is removed there should be a final inspection report completed and signed off by the project manager, or their designate, and any contractors responsible for the clean-up.</p> <p><b>5)</b> In the Wildlife Monitoring and Mitigation Plan please add a KivIA contact name and number for Baker Lake and Rankin Inlet for reporting any bear incidents and/or interactions, wolf or fox den sightings and any large herd sightings.</p> <p><b>Specific KivIA Comments for 2022 and 2023 Activities:</b></p> <p><b>2022 Activities:</b></p> <p><i>o Activity</i> - A thirty-day program based out of Baker Lake for day trips by a six-person helicopter supported exploration program.</p> <p><b>Recommendation</b> – have a local monitor to ensure flight rules are followed, in particular, refrain from low level flights near all wildlife, especially caribou.</p> <p><i>o Activity</i> - Airborne geophysical surveys</p> <p><b>Recommendation</b> – ensure all flight regulations are followed, in particular, refrain from low level flights near all wildlife, especially caribou.</p> <p><b>2023 Activities</b></p> <p><i>o Activity</i> - Over land transport of camp and exploration-related equipment and supplies to the proposed campsite via sled trains in the Spring of 2023</p>	<p>application of these tanks. The only reference is to 683 drums of JET A, 708 drums of Diesel (P-50) and 2 drums of gasoline.</p> <p>Forum will update the application to reflect the six (6) 50,000 Litre (L) double walled fuel tanks.</p> <p><b>3)</b> In addition to before and after pictures there should be a final inspection sheet signed off by the project manager, or their designate, for each drill hole site.</p> <p>Forum agrees with this recommendation.</p> <p><b>4)</b> When the temporary campsite is removed there should be a final inspection report completed and signed off by the project manager, or their designate, and any contractors responsible for the clean-up.</p> <p>Forum agrees with this recommendation.</p> <p><b>5)</b> In the Wildlife Monitoring and Mitigation Plan please add a KivIA contact name and number for Baker Lake and Rankin Inlet for reporting any bear incidents and/or interactions, wolf or fox den sightings and any large herd sightings.</p>	
---	--	--

	<p><b>Recommendation</b>  – ensure convoys have sufficient spill response materials in the spill kits, up to 1000L</p> <p><i>o Activity</i>  - Drilling conducted from June to September</p> <p><b>Recommendations</b>  – ensure drills are equipped with Spill response kits, up to 1000L  - Ensure the drill sites and drill cuttings sumps are located a minimum distance of 31 meters from all nearby water sources.</p> <p><i>o Activity</i>  - Transportation, storage and use of up to six (6) 50,000 Litre (L) double walled fuel tanks at the campsite</p> <p><b>Recommendation</b>  – ensure to use lined berm with all tanks</p> <p><i>o Activity</i>  - Use fuel and lubricants</p> <p><b>Recommendation</b>  – ensure to use lined berms and 2ndary containments where fuel transfer will be conducted</p>	<p>Forum agrees with this recommendation and requests current contact information for the contact name and number.</p> <p><b>Specific KivIA Comments for 2022 and 2023 Activities:</b></p> <p><b>2022 Activities:</b>  <i>o Activity</i>  - A thirty-day program based out of Baker Lake for day trips by a six-person helicopter supported exploration program.</p> <p><b>Recommendation</b>  – have a local monitor to ensure flight rules are followed, in particular, refrain from low level flights near all wildlife, especially caribou.</p> <p><i>o Activity</i>  - Airborne geophysical surveys</p> <p><b>Recommendation</b>  – ensure all flight regulations are followed, in particular, refrain from low level flights near all wildlife, especially caribou.</p> <p>Forum has consulted with the Baker Lake HTO and the KIA CLARC and have committed to contracting wildlife monitors to ensure company compliance with terms and conditions of licenses and permits including, no low-level flights especially near any wildlife including caribou.</p> <p><b>2023 Activities</b>  <i>o Activity</i></p>	
--	---	--	--

*- Over land transport of camp and exploration-related equipment and supplies to the proposed campsite via sled trains in the Spring of 2023*

**Recommendation**

*- ensure convoys have sufficient spill response materials in the spill kits, up to 1000L*

*o Activity*

*- Drilling conducted from June to September*

**Recommendations**

*- ensure drills are equipped with Spill response kits, up to 1000L*

*- Ensure the drill sites and drill cuttings sumps are located a minimum distance of 31 meters from all nearby water sources.*

Forum commits to ensuring that overland transport of camp and exploration related equipment and supplied to the proposed campsite via sled trains in the /spring of 2023 have sufficient spills kits, up to 1,000L and that drilling is conducted between June and September.

Drill sites will be equipped with spill response kids, up to 1000L, and Drill sites and drill cutting sumps are located a minimum distance of 31 meters from all nearby water sources.

*o Activity*

*- Transportation, storage and use of up to six (6) 50,000 Litre (L) double walled fuel tanks at the campsite*

**Recommendation**

		<p>– ensure to use lined berm with all tanks o Activity - Use fuel and lubricants <b>Recommendation</b> – ensure to use lined berms and 2ndary containments where fuel transfer will be conducted</p> <p>Forum commits to ensuring that lined berms will be used with all tanks, drums fuels and lubricants as secondary containment.</p>	
KIA	<p>Kivalliq Inuit Association (July 5, 2022) <b>To: Nunavut Impact Review Board</b> <b>From: Kivalliq Inuit Association</b> <b>Project Proposal Title: Nunavut Uranium Project</b> <b>Proponent: Forum Energy Metals Corp.</b> <b>Location: Kivalliq Region</b> <b>Comments Due By: July 5, 2022 NIRB #: 22EN032</b> <b>Re: June 20th Public Meeting, Nunamiut Lodge, Baker Lake</b></p> <p>Forum Energy Metals Corporation held a community consultation in Baker Lake on June 20, 2022. The community consultation was held at the Nunamiut Lodge conference room and a total of 31 members of the public attended. A presentation on the planned 2022 activities related to uranium exploration was given by Forum representatives Rebecca Hunter (Geologist) and Denise Lockett (Community Liaison). The mineral exploration activities are summarized as follows: 2022: A thirty-day program based out of Baker Lake for a six-person helicopter supported exploration program that will consist of;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use of helicopter for day trips for exploration activities;</li> </ul>	<p>Due to Forum representatives inability to respond thoroughly or accurately to the photos in question due to interruptions in the meeting, Forum subsequently has confirmed with the CIRNAC inspector that these photos were not of Forum’s camp but indeed that of another companies camp.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. FEMC has not had the opportunity to directly speak with elders who were born in the proposed exploration area but would welcome the opportunity to hear their concerns and stories.</li> <li>2. FEMC will work closely with the BLHTO to ensure that disturbance to wildlife will not occur.</li> <li>3. Forum Energy Metals Corp. commits to working within the terms and conditions of their licenses and permits and being a good corporate citizen.</li> </ol>	<p>Map <a href="https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:2fbcbad0-9c05-3177-b2fa-9c3391796674">https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aaid:scds:US:2fbcbad0-9c05-3177-b2fa-9c3391796674</a></p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Examining drill cores at the previous campsite;</li> <li>• Conduct site investigation on Aberdeen Lake and in the vicinity of Gerhard Lake;</li> <li>• Conduct ground gravity and airborne geophysical surveys;</li> <li>• Bringing fuel, three drills and other camp / exploration related equipment and supplies to Baker Lake by barge in 2022, in advance of building a temporary 20 to 30-person camp in the spring of 2023.</li> </ul> <p>Once the Forum presentation was completed, the floor was opened to the public for any questions or concerns they may have. There was very little time between questions for the Forum representatives to answer or comment on any of the questions/comments that were asked. This was due to a small percentage of the public attendees asking the questions and making comments in a very rapid manner, in both English and Inuktitut. A petition opposing the requested exploration project was circulated by one of the attendees which most attendees signed. The same attendee did a short presentation to inform of previous explorations which dated back to about early 2000's. She used photo's that circulated around the meeting room which showed drill casings sticking out of the ground and metal cables on the ground. Some of the photo's showed old camp sites, landmarks left behind to indicate either fishing spots or caribou migration passing the area. The questions and concerns voiced by the public are listed below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Have you spoken to the elders who were born on those areas?</li> <li>2. Also, there are a lot of hunting areas where you will be exploring. Have you spoken to the hunters?</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4. Forum commits to working within the terms and conditions of its licenses and permits, and not negatively impact the land and the water. Forum's activities are a long distance from the Thelon River as shown in the accompanying map.</li> <li>5. Any uranium discovered during exploration, and subsequent development, will be used as fuel for nuclear power electricity generation.</li> <li>6. Permission to conduct exploration in Nunavut is granted by the Government of Canada (on Crown Land) and by the Regional Inuit Association's (on Inuit Owned Land).</li> <li>7. Forum has committed to working closely with the BLHTO to ensure minimal disturbance to all wildlife including caribou and their migration.</li> <li>8. Please direct Forum to the video that you saw. Uranium mining and nuclear energy are the most highly regulated industries in the world to prevent harm to humans, animals and the environment Uranium mining has been conducted in a safe manner for over 75 years and constantly being updated with new technology.</li> <li>9. We are not sure where you heard that people have suffered</li> </ol>	
--	---	--	--

	<p>3. We were promised a lot of things by Agnico but they become broken all their promises. Will you guys be doing that as well?</p> <p>4. Uranium is more dangerous than gold which is right by the Thelon River. Will the Thelon River become contaminated by uranium?</p> <p>5. What are you going to use the uranium for?</p> <p>6. Who gave you permission to explore the area?</p> <p>7. The Caribou migrations are being changed by mines and explorations. How will your work change the migration?</p> <p>8. I have seen videos of people getting sick from being exposed to uranium.</p> <p>9. You provided jobs in Saskatchewan and these people have suffered, why aren't they here to talk uranium mining to us?</p> <p>10. The majority of the people here live off the caribou and the migrations have been affected.</p> <p>11. It's also our water source that flows from Aberdeen Lake through Thelon river into Baker Lake than to the Hudson Bay. Water looks clean for now but later down the road will it become contaminated?</p> <p>12. Explain "clean mining".</p> <p>13. The Company doesn't seem to adhere to the Terms and Conditions set out by the government. Your previous company violated Terms and Conditions and reporting. It'll be 10 years this month since those violations have happened. There were drill rod casings that have been left behind. When will these be removed?</p> <p>14. Does NTI, KIA or other levels of government come to your sites to inspect them?</p> <p>15. Once you open the orange areas indicated on the map, you are going to change caribou migration routes. We need caribou. We don't always want to rely on store bought food.</p>	<p>by Forum's hiring of local First Nations workers. At some point in time, we would be pleased to initiate a dialogue between First Nations in Saskatchewan and the Inuit in Nunavut.</p> <p>10. (not a question but a statement)</p> <p>11. FEMC commits to adhering to the terms and conditions of its licenses and permits and to not negatively impacting the land, the wildlife, and the water. Every effort will be made to avoid any contamination. Forum's activities are a long distance from the Thelon River as shown in the accompanying map.</p> <p>12. We think the question was in response to Forum's view that uranium provides "Clean Energy". Clean Energy means that energy from uranium to produce electricity does not emit carbon dioxide like the burning of fossil fuels such as diesel for the generation of electricity that contribute to global warming and climate change.</p> <p>13. Unfortunately the annual reports for 2017 and 2018 were not submitted to the NIRB during a period of inactivity in Nunavut on the project. These reports have now been submitted. In addition, it took couple of years to cut the drill casings down to ground level at the previous</p>	
--	--	---	--

	<p>16. Was the public informed of the work put in place?</p> <p>17. It is very important that the public is informed.</p> <p>18. Once mining for uranium, how is it transported?</p> <p>19. Who did you guys talk to in order to explore the areas?</p> <p>20. Jobs for who? Southerners? Why not hire locally?</p> <p>21. If the uranium gets into our waters, will it affect the fish as well?</p> <p>22. I know radiation can be detected, how much more radiation will there be from the drill holes? It can affect a lot of stuff.</p> <p>23. When you are done drilling, does it become a quarry to store drill cores?</p> <p>24. Which is more dangerous in mining? Gold? or uranium?</p> <p>25. Some companies have started and proceeded without proper authorization, will it be the case here too?</p> <p>26. Is there asbestos in uranium?</p> <p>27. Can animals digest uranium that has contaminated the ground?</p> <p>28. What does radioactive mean?</p> <p>29. Are you going on radio shows as some elders can't always attend public meetings?</p> <p>30. It is your responsibility to inform everyone.</p> <p>31. Uranium is used in nuclear power, what do you do when the reactors break down to do a clean up?</p> <p>32. Will there be local employment? How many?</p> <p>33. Can you give clarification as to how many locals will be hired for the season?</p> <p>34. How do we comment on Forum's permit application?</p>	<p>exploration site, and the Company is committed to not allow that to happen again. (The commenter was confused between Forum's site and that of another company's site shown in pictures distributed at the meeting by Joan Scottie. It was subsequently determined by CIRNAC that these photos were not of Forum's previous camp.)</p> <p>14. Yes, CIRNAC and KIA both conduct inspections. NTI does if the company is exploring on IOL subsurface land.</p> <p>15. The Company has committed to working with the BLHTO to limit the disturbance to all wildlife including caribou. Only a very small area in the "orange area" will be explored on as shown on the accompanying map.</p> <p>16. No work has begun. Forum Energy Metals Corp. is proposing exploration activities and is consulting with regulators and the community first.</p> <p>17. Agreed and the Company also commits to ongoing information exchange with the community via local liaison officers.</p> <p>18. Once uranium is taken out of the ground, it is processed on site in a concentrator- all similar activities as gold mining. The uranium concentrate is stored in</p>	
--	--	--	--

	<p>35. If there is an earthquake in Baler Lake will uranium leak out of the drill holes?</p> <p>36. Will you train the local people that work for you?</p> <p>37. If uranium gets into the Thelon River will our fish have uranium in them?</p> <p>38. Does uranium leave the rocks and go into the air?</p> <p>39. The NIRB, NWB, Feds and KIA all have meetings and speak for Baker Lake but these organizations don't ask the residents of Baker Lake what they want.</p>	<p>45-gallon barrels and shipped overland and by sea to the customer, usually a company that generates electricity.</p> <p>19. The Company applies to CIRNAC for exploration activities on Crown Land, and the KIA/NTI for exploration on IOL (surface and subsurface)</p> <p>20. FEMC is committed to hiring and training local employees during its exploration activities.</p> <p>21. Firstly, uranium in rock will not affect the fish. Secondly, mining activities are controlled so that when mined, it does not get into the water. Thirdly, when the uranium is processed all the uranium is removed from the rock and any remaining rock or water from the processing is regulated before release to the environment.</p> <p>22. If uranium is found in the drill core, it is stored in a core storage facility on site. If the radiation from the core exceeds limits set by regulation, it will be removed and shipped to a facility in Saskatchewan. Every drill hole is filled with cement.</p> <p>23. We do not understand what the commenter means by quarry. The core is stored on racks in a core storage area on site until the next drill program is started and more core is added to the core storage racks.</p>	
--	--	---	--

24. Mining for uranium is done in the same fashion as for gold or copper or nickel. Because uranium is radioactive, the regulations for protection of the environment are much more stringent, thus making uranium mining as dangerous as mining gold.

25. Forum plans to conduct its exploration activities under all regulations set out by CIRNAC on Crown Land, and the KIA/NTI for exploration on IOL (surface and subsurface)

26. No asbestos and uranium are different. There is no asbestos found in a uranium deposit or used for mining.

27. That would depend on the level of contamination. All regulations for the exploration, development and production of uranium are strictly regulated to prevent contamination. There is uranium in some concentration everywhere in soil, fresh water, seawater and rock.

28. Radioactivity is a naturally occurring property of certain atoms that transform into other atoms by emitting radiation in the form of alpha, beta or gamma particles. Natural radioactivity occurs in many things including rainwater, seawater, milk, fish, the human body and the bodies of animals.

29. That's a great suggestion.

30. Agreed and there is always room for improvement.

31. Forum is a uranium exploration company that has been exploring for uranium for 18 years and before that, management has been involved in uranium exploration for 45 years. The company has expertise in the safe handling of uranium at the exploration stage. This is a question for a nuclear energy physicist and/or engineer.

32. Forum will indeed require local workers for mobilization of equipment, camp construction, core technicians, driller helpers, camp maintenance, camp cook's helpers, wildlife monitors. Forum will be seeking Community Liaison Officers to keep the community informed of its activities. The company is still formulating its plans for the quantity of workers required but can estimate 10 to 15 temporary and full time workers during the exploration season.

33. See 32 above. Given a successful program, there could be more in the future.

34. Through NIRB (address provided)

35. No

36. Yes, we would like to train core technicians to work with

		<p>the geologists and helpers for the drillers.</p> <p>37. FEMC commits to adhering to the terms and conditions of its licenses and permits and to not negatively impacting the land, the wildlife, and the water. Every effort will be made to avoid any contamination. Forum's activities are a long distance from the Thelon River as shown in the accompanying map, so the likelihood of any uranium getting into the Thelon River and contaminating fish is negligible. As pointed out in the response to question 28, fish have natural radioactivity in them.</p> <p>38. No uranium does not leave the rocks and go up in the air. The radiation that is emitted from uranium does go into the air.</p> <p>39. Statement not a question.</p>	
Maggie Qaqimat Perkison	<p>Maggie Qaqimat Perkison (July 5, 2022) The Forum Energy Metals Company that wants to make a proposal to extract uranium from the our community Baker Lake, Nu. Me and my grandfather Thomas Qaqimat are concerned about all these areas;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-significant public concerns.</li> <li>-significant adverse eco systemic or social economic effects.</li> <li>-significant adverse impacts on wildlife habitat or Inuit harvest activities.</li> </ul> <p>My grandfather Thomas (age 92) was raised in that area Aberdeen. He says that our community was always concerned when companies would try make proposals to extract uranium since the 1980's.</p>	<p>Forum Energy Metals Corp. thanks Ms. Perkison and her grandfather for their comments, and for Ms. Perkison's attendance at the Public Meeting held on June 20, 2022, in Baker Lake.</p> <p>Whereas, we understand and appreciate the concerns raised, Forum believes that they can conduct exploration activities in a way that respects the wildlife the water, and the land.</p>	

	<p>There answer was always no because they wanted to protect the eco system and social economic effects of the animals and land which in return would have significantly effect the Inuit who go camping and hunt for wildlife. My grandfather said that there is an abundance of wildlife in that area. There is also a big caribou herd that migrates that way and circles around Baker Lake area. And another concern is that we get our main drinking water from the lake that comes from the Thelon River which is connected to the Aberdeen area. Yes, the companies say they will be very careful when extracting uranium from the land but my grandfather said that the wind will surely pick up the uranium and spread it around the land and water.</p> <p>If this were to go ahead, where are we going to go if our land, animals and drinking water get effected? Who will buy us a new community? Who will buy us new homes? Who will support our businesses that help us function as a community? Who will buy us schools for our children?</p> <p>This will effect everyone and everything for us and that's not fair. It's easier to say no to a company because they won't loose anything. They can look else where. This is our community, our life, our animals. It's not a work place, it's our home.</p> <p>Thank you for this opportunity to listen to me and my grandfather Thomas Qaqimat concerns.</p> <p>Maggie Perkison</p>	<p>Forum has proposed working closely with the BLHTO by contracting local wildlife monitors who will have the authority to shut down any exploration activities if wildlife are in the area. In addition, the Company has stated that they will abide by all terms and conditions of any licences and permits including the KIA's Mobile Mitigation Measures.</p> <p>The Company proposed to bring elders and community as well as regulatory officials to the project site so that they can see how the company is operating and to provide any feedback and advice. Forum wants to work closely with the Hamlet, the HTO and the KIA CLARC to ensure that mitigation measures are identified to reduce any impact on the wildlife, the land and ensure that there is no contamination into the water.</p>	
<p>Paula Kinjugalik Hughson</p>	<p>Paula Kinjugalik Hughson (July 5, 2022) Kangirjuap Kuunga/Thelon River and Akulliqpaaq Qamaniq/Aberdeen Lake and surrounding area is an important landscape to Inuit who not only consider the community as home (recent in the last 60 years) but the land surrounding (all</p>	<p>Forum Energy Metals Corp. would like to thank Paula Kinjugalik Hughson for her thoughtful comments and her attendance and participation in the meeting with Hamlet as well</p>	

	<p>Inuit lived on the landscape and still do to hunt, to rejuvenate their mind body and spirit, to connect with the land and all it gives; fresh air, clean water, fish, birds, wildlife and connection to spirit) – this is especially important to Inuit who have family ties to these landscapes, recreation travelers (paddlers or ecotourists) and wildlife/fish/birds who call this home and so much more.</p> <p>Uranium exploration/mining/milling/decommissioning leads to a domino effect for the whole region which will forever negatively be impacted by the uranium industry; piece by piece,</p> <p>permit by permit, lease by lease with uranium companies “owning” the land with permits that were not properly consulted with the people of the area with no regard to those who came before them; our ancestors and who we are today: Akillinirmiut, Qairnirmiut, Haunigtuurmiut, Hanningayuqmiut, Ukkuhiksalingmiut, Harvaqtuurmiut and Paalirmiut to name a few.</p> <p>Land management regulatory system in Nunavut is not inclusive for the general public and most Inuit as they are not aware of the colonial Fee Simple land distribution system that is in place in Nunavut to hand out land that Inuit have occupied and have long connections, bonds, associations and share with wildlife/fish/birds and habitat. Land managers for: NPC, Government of Canada/Government of Nunavut, NTI and KIA do not disseminate or consult with the communities in person to learn if these activities are wanted or not in their backyard, it is left to the regulatory</p>	<p>as the Public Meeting held on Jun 20, 2022, in Baker Lake.</p> <p>As comments raised were with regards to Land Claims Organizations and Institutes of Public Government, it is not appropriate for the Company to comment on, other than to recommend that they all work together with Nunavumiut on resolving outstanding issues.</p> <p>Forum Energy Metals Corp. has stated and strongly feels that they can work together with the HTO, and the KIA CLARC to ensure that the exploration activities are conducted in a manner that respects the wildlife, the water, the land, and the environment. No low-level flights will be allowed. Wildlife monitors will have the authority to suspend activities until wildlife have moved an authorized distance away and no activities will take place during the annual migration.</p> <p>CIRNAC and KIA inspectors will likely monitor activities closely and they, as well as community leaders, the HTO and KIA CLARC members will be invited to inspect activities to ensure that they are comfortable with the proposed project.</p>	
--	---	--	--

	<p>system which is very colonial and foreign to most people in the communities. It is more invisible with online staking and such for land resource extraction. Input from mining industry, mining industry lobbyists or business – economic aspect/mining on the other hand to the land in question have more say than those who live here all year round because industry knows this colonial system which is skewed to their terms from the start of the regulatory process. The general public and Inuit are left on the side lines and left to catch up but lose in the end because major decisions are made on their behalf without meaningful and proper consultation or discussions regarding these contentious issues. Staking claims by industry is part of the fee entry system and applies to all land in Nunavut where applicable and eventually exploration for minerals, bulk sampling/advanced exploration, feasibility studies, environmental assessments, permitting, mine construction, mine operation, tailings, smelting, decommissioning: regulatory system. This system is not in sync with Inuit worldview or at the community level where industry can change “land” staked by different companies without consulting the people who are most impacted at the landscape level not the shareholders who live the majority live outside of Nunavut and even outside the country of Canada. Most times Inuit who live and use the area in question learn about the activity long after the permits have been long approved with aircraft or machinery suddenly in their hunting or camping grounds. Land</p>	<p>No hazardous waste will be disposed of in the Baker Lake landfill but will be transported to an approved facility for proper disposal.</p>	
--	--	---	--

	<p>resource managers for Nunavut are part of this issue too as they do not come to the communities to properly consult and learn what the community wants. A minimum threshold must be established where there is community support at least 85% for uranium activity for example. Article 32 has not been implemented for Inuit societal worldview for mineral industry. It is not only the economic “benefit” that will benefit the majority outside Nunavut but leave us with the mess as money will not buy back clean air, water, habitat for caribou or other wildlife, fish or birds.</p> <p>The NPC 2021 Draft Nunavut Land Use Plan gives EXISTING RIGHTS to mineral/exploration/mining companies who stake claims. In this draft plan NPC are giving land to outsiders and they have more rights than Inuit who have lived and occupied these lands for generations and generations; Inuit rights to say yes or no to mineral extraction companies holding permits/leases to lands Inuit have rights to are not true because NTI, KIA, NPC and other Land resource managers have not properly consulted Inuit and communities regarding these activities or resource extraction.</p> <p>Investment in our people through education from K to 12 and college and university where Inuit are the majority employed at all levels of jobs/careers in the mining industry. This could be engineers, chemistry technicians, biologists, nurses, doctors, tailings pit engineers, geologists and the list goes on. This will not happen right away and will likely take at least 50 to 100 years</p>		
--	--	--	--

and that is ok because the minerals are not going anywhere. We as Inuit will be in a much better position to negotiate our precious land resources and be more equal at the negotiating table.

**Water Quality:** Kangirjuap Kuunga/Thelon River and Akulliqpaq Qamaniq/Aberdeen Lake and the whole water system/drainage basin draining into Baker Lake is an important drinking water source for the community of Baker Lake.

**Terrain:** the habitat is important to wildlife especially the Beverly/Qamanirjuaq Caribou herd. Waste from uranium exploration, bore holes, radiation equipment, radiative wastewater and other waster from exploration all contribute negatively to the landscape, people, wildlife and environment.

**Air Quality:** Radon gas from bore holes is a major concern over time especially when sites are left because the site are not “productive” enough for the company.

**Noise Disturbance:** Air traffic from helicopters, airplanes transporting drill rigs or crew or supplies and grid work to learn what the earth material composition. To winter transport of materials that can disturb wildlife and with pockets of activity taking place over time and with other projects ongoing, the cumulative effects can be detrimental to wildlife and Inuit who rely on this wildlife.

**Caribou:** the Beverly/Qamanirjuaq Caribou herd is our last precious resource that must be held in the upmost regard in terms of protecting habitat, lifecyle of caribou use of the

	<p>landscape, protecting water crossings and ensure more are protected as they change due to outside influence especially increased activity from aircraft or ships. Caribou are increasingly more and more important as food insecurity is very prevalent in Nunavut. Qamani'tuaq is the only inland Inuit community and caribou is a very important food source which also provides clothing and warmth during the harsh winter months.</p> <p><b>Fish:</b> fish is an important food source for Qamani'tuaq as it is the only inland Inuit community in Nunavut. The people rely on fish as a food source. Fresh water, habitat and environment are required to keep this important food source available.</p> <p><b>Uranium:</b> the whole start to finish of this mineral; from exploration, to mining, milling, mine operation, tailings, decommissioning, transportation and all aspects needs proper inclusion community level input and consultation with an Inuit worldview input. The footprint of this activity is large and not just the permit or lease site; its from site to shipping and beyond.</p> <p><b>NIRB Areva Hearing:</b> I asked NTI/KIA at the hearing for information regarding IOLs pertaining to BL parcels and surrounding areas as I wanted to know why they were selected as per the Nunavut Land Claims Agreement article 17.1.2. (a), (b), (c) and (d). I received information from NTI about CH which is Coral Harbour, but that was all. I wanted to see the documents as they are part of our living history. These</p>		
--	--	--	--

	<p>organizations represent me as an Inuk and I want to know what they are saying and negotiating on my behalf and if it is best for us or not. I have yet to hear from NTI or KIA regarding this question and try to find on their website what decisions have been made on my behalf. Communication at the community level is nonexistent and use the regulatory process to promote their initiatives without properly consulting their electorate is what I see at the community level.</p> <p><b>Waste Management:</b> waste management permits are issued for disposal at local landfills. Where are the supporting documents that the local landfill will accept the waste from the project; combustible waste, grey water, non-combustibles, sewage and hazardous wastes. Hazardous waste is to be disposed of a facility that is capable of this, but it is not outlined where, by what method, how it will be stored and timeline to leave the community landfill for further storage south.</p> <p><b>Do you have any suggestions or recommendations for this application?</b></p> <p>Do not permit this project as uranium is still a very contentious issue in Nunavut that has not been properly consulted with Inuit at the community level by all land resource managers (NTI, KIA. Government of Canada, Government of Nunavut, NPC) who use the fee simple nonrenewable resource system to communicate this nonrenewable</p>		
--	--	--	--

	<p>resource in which the general public is not familiar with or aware of at the community level. Meetings and decisions are made on their behalf without meaningful and proper consultation.</p> <p>Article 32 of the NLCA has not been properly adhered to by all parties of land management in Nunavut as social issues are very integral to this issue</p> <p><b>Do you support the project proposal? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Any additional comments?</b></p> <p>This project and all uranium projects should NOT proceed.</p> <p>Uranium mineral exploration and/or extraction and/or processing have not properly informed Inuit at the community level by land managers (NPC, government of Canada, Government of Nunavut, NTI, KIA) or consulted Inuit or communities and the regulatory system has been manipulated to have this activity proceed and have a positive conformity in Nunavut without true Inuit community level participation or understanding of the issue.</p> <p>Land permits/leases should not have been permitted by regulatory system by all permit/lease issuers without properly consulting the communities with a majority being Inuit and Inuit who have ties to areas of exploration, and not big business or lobbyists of this industry. Money and promises of jobs will not buy back our habitat for wildlife or landscapes</p>		
--	--	--	--

	<p>that are not only for minerals but everything else we cherish.</p>		
<p>GN</p>	<p>GN Department of Culture and Heritage (July 5, 2022) The proponent intends to carry out a two-year (2022-2023) exploration program on various claims and leases in the vicinity of Aberdeen and Gerhard Lakes. The project is located approximately 90 km from Baker Lake. The proposed land use activities include drilling, the use of helicopters for daily activities, the use of snowcats for the overland transport of equipment, the building of a temporary camp and associated components (incinerator, fuel storage, ect.). A search of the Nunavut Archaeological Site Database indicates that there are one hundred sixtyfive (165) recorded archaeological sites within the boundaries of the proposed exploration areas. Specifically, large concentrations of sites are found in the immediate vicinity of proposed Camp A, on the northern shore of Aberdeen</p>	<p>Forum Energy Metals Corp. (FEMC) thanks the Government of Nunavut, Department of Culture and Heritage for their recommendations that since” <i>no systematic archaeological surveys have been conducted in the proposed exploration areas and that the potential for the presence of archaeological sites is high the Department of Culture and Heritage recommends that a field archaeological assessment program be initiated prior to any land disturbance activities”</i>. FEMC agrees with this recommendation and has</p>	

	<p>Lake and throughout the Orano claim. This however does not preclude the presence of unidentified sites or cultural features as to this day no systematic archaeological reconnaissance has been conducted in this specific area. Several archaeological sites are reported on exploration properties nearby. The project area may potentially yield significant archaeological/cultural resources as it is geographically located at the intersection of both the Beverley and Ahiak and Qamanirjuaq caribou herd ranges. The vast interconnecting system of rivers and lakes also points out to the high potential for archaeological/cultural resources of the area. Thus, the Department of Culture and Heritage considers that there are reasonable grounds to believe that there could be sites of archaeological significance on the lands affected by the current project (NA 33.5.12). SUGGESTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS A Class 2 Archaeology Permit is required from the Department of Culture and Heritage. On the basis that no systematic archaeological surveys have been conducted in the proposed exploration areas and that the potential for the presence of archaeological sites is high the Department of Culture and Heritage recommends that a field archaeological assessment program be initiated prior to any land disturbance activities. CH recommendations are the following: 13   Page GN Comments for Forum Energy Metals Corp.'s "Nunavut Uranium Project" Proposal. (1) A qualified archaeologist must apply for a Class 2 permit in order to conduct a field archaeological assessment of any areas subject to ground disturbance activities; (2) Assessment of any drilling locations (50 m radius) and water-hose route to the closest water intake; (3) Assessment of any proposed camp locations and associated components; (4) Assessment of the overland route to be used</p>	<p>contracted a company to conduct the field archaeological assessment this year.</p>	
--	---	---	--

	<p>for the transportation of equipment; (5) The Department of Culture and Heritage recommends that the applicant avoids conducting activities in the vicinity (50 m buffer zone) of archaeological/historical sites. If archaeological sites or features are encountered, activities should immediately be interrupted and moved away from this location. Each site encountered needs to be recorded and reported to our office. All archaeological and palaeontological sites in Nunavut are protected by law. The applicant must understand that it is their responsibility to ensure that no heritage resource sites are disturbed in the course of their activities. No person shall alter, or otherwise disturb an archaeological site, or remove any artifact from an archaeological site. Moreover, the building of inuksuit is not recommended.</p>		
CIRNAC	<p>Crown Indigenous Relations and Northern Affairs Canada (CIRNAC) July 5, 2022</p> <p>CIRNAC 1: Environmental Impacts The Proponent has not identified the possible negative environmental impacts associated with physical scarring and land disturbance at the camp and drilling sites, use of drilling fluids, and uranium specific impacts (radioactive dust). Additionally, the Proponent has identified positive and negative non-mitigatable impacts on the physical, biological and socio-economic components in the “identification of Environmental Impacts” matrix, but has not provided any commentary justifying how these impacts are classified as “positive” or “non-mitigatable”.</p> <p>CIRNAC recommends that the Proponent identifies, and proposes mitigation measures for, any negative environmental impacts that may result from physical scarring and land disturbance at the camp and drilling sites,</p>	<p>Forum Energy Metals Corp. thanks CIRNAC for their helpful comments and suggestions.</p> <p>FEMC will clarify and provide more justification to possible negative environmental impacts as well as positive and negative non-mitigatable impacts on the physical, biological and socio-economic components in the “identification of Environmental Impacts” matrix.</p> <p>In addition, FEMC will provide rationale on “<i>why impacts on some physical components (e.g., designated environmental areas, ground stability, permafrost, water quality) and socio-economic</i></p>	

	<p>generation of radioactive dust, and use of drilling fluids. Additionally, CIRNAC recommends that the Proponent provides a rationale why impacts on some physical components (e.g., designated environmental areas, ground stability, permafrost, water quality) and socio-economic components (e.g., community wellness and human health) are characterized as positive while impacts on most biological components (vegetation, wildlife, birds, aquatic species, and wildlife protected areas) are classified as “negative and nonmitigable”.</p> <p>CIRNAC 2: Potential for positive effects to Inuit through employment, training, and procurement opportunities CIRNAC recommends that the Proponent prioritize the employment and training of local Inuit as well as procurement with Inuit-owned businesses when implementing project activities. Such efforts will allow for positive effects to be realized by community members and the local Inuit population. As a result, Inuit and Inuit-owned businesses situated in Baker Lake should be prioritized in any project-related employment, training, and procurement opportunities that may be made available.</p> <p>CIRNAC 3: Consultation with interested parties CIRNAC recommends that the Proponent consult with the Hamlet of Baker Lake and the Baker Lake Hunters and Trappers Organization. Issues that should be considered as part of any consultation activities should include, but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>☑ Incorporation of Inuit knowledge and Inuit Qaujimagatuqangit into project activities;</li> <li>☑ Mitigation measures designed to prevent any disturbance to wildlife and the environment;</li> </ul>	<p><i>components(e.g., community wellness and human health) are characterized as positive while impacts on most biological components(vegetation, wildlife, birds, aquatic species, and wildlife protected areas) are classified as “negative and non mitigable”</i></p> <p>Forum Energy Metals Corp. has in the past, as Forum Uranium, and proposes again to utilize local contractors, businesses as well as local employment whenever possible. FEMC has established a good business relationship in the community and looks forward to learning of any new businesses they should contact. On-the-job training at the exploration camp will be implemented to attract more local employees.</p> <p>Community Consultation meetings with the Hamlet of Baker Lake, a Public Meeting as well as separate meetings with the BLHTO and the KIA CLARC were held in Baker Lake during the week of June 20, 2022. At the meetings, the Company committed to contracting local Wildlife Monitors through the BLHTO who will have the authority to suspend exploration</p>	
--	---	--	--

	<p> <input type="checkbox"/> The experience of community members who participate in traditional and nontraditional activities within or in close proximity to the project area;  <input type="checkbox"/> Training and employment opportunities for community members;  <input type="checkbox"/> Procurement opportunities for local businesses, and  <input type="checkbox"/> Regular updates on the status of project activities.         </p> <p>           CIRNAC 4: Potential identification of archaeological and/or paleontological resources            CIRNAC recommends that the Proponent contact the Government of Nunavut's Department of Culture and Heritage to determine if any actions are needed to ensure the protection of Nunavut's archaeological and paleontological resources. The Department of Culture and Heritage oversees the protection and management of heritage resources in Nunavut, in partnership with land claim authorities, regulatory agencies, and the federal government. It would be able to provide any needed guidance or direction to the Proponent.         </p> <p>           CIRNAC 5: Annual Report            If the project is approved, CIRNAC recommends that the Proponent be required to submit Annual Reports to the NIRB which provide updates on its implementation of project-specific terms and conditions included in a Screening Decision Report, compliance with regulatory authorizations, and community engagement activities, including interactions with the Baker Lake Hunters and Trappers Organization. This practice of providing timely monitoring and reporting information would support the NIRB and interested parties' understanding of the Proponent's management of project activities.         </p>	<p>activities should wildlife be in the area.</p> <p>Additional follow up meetings are proposed as well as ongoing measures to contract local community liaisons to help provide timely advice to the community regarding exploration activities.</p> <p>FEMC looks forward to engaging elders in the community, especially those who were born in the proposed exploration area to learn of their experiences, knowledge and how best to incorporate Qaujimajatuqangit into project activities.</p> <p>The company agrees with the GN, Department of Culture and Heritage's recommendation of an archaeological field assessment prior to any surface disturbance.</p> <p>Annual Reports will be submitted to the NIRB, NWB and the KIA including updated on project-specific terms and conditions, inspections, and community engagement activities as well as local hiring and business activities.</p>	
--	--	--	--

	<p>It would also provide an opportunity to seek clarification from the Proponent on its implementation of measures to prevent, minimize, and manage any ecosystemic or socio-economic impacts associated with its project.</p> <p>CIRNAC appreciates the opportunity to provide comments and looks forward to working with the NIRB and the Proponent throughout any further review phases related to this project. Should you have any questions, please contact Courtney White by e-mail at <a href="mailto:courtney.white@canada.ca">courtney.white@canada.ca</a> or David Abernethy at (867) 222-1610 or email at <a href="mailto:david.abernethy@canada.ca">david.abernethy@canada.ca</a>.</p>		
ECCC	<p>Government of Canada, Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) July 5, 2022</p> <p>Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) has reviewed the information submitted to the Nunavut Impact Review Board (NIRB) regarding the above-mentioned screening.</p> <p>ECCC is providing technical, science-based information and knowledge based on our mandate pursuant to the <i>Canadian Environmental Protection Act</i>, the pollution prevention provisions of the <i>Fisheries Act</i>, the <i>Migratory Birds Convention Act</i>, and the <i>Species at Risk Act</i>. These comments are intended to inform the assessment of this project's potential effects in the receiving environment and on valued ecosystem components. Any comments received from ECCC in this context does not relieve the proponent of its obligations to respect all applicable federal legislation. The following comments are provided:</p> <p><b>1. Drill Cutting Disposal</b></p>	<p>Forum Energy Metals Corp. would like to that ECCC for their thoughtful suggestions and comments.</p> <p><u>Drill Cutting Disposal</u> FEMC will correct the discrepancy between the handling of uranium cuttings in the Waste Management Plan, and the Abandonment and Reclamation Plan including clarity on: Monitoring of radiation levels can reliably be done in real time and we will provide more details on handling and disposal of radioactive drill cuttings;</p> <p>details of measurement techniques available for identifying drilling wastes requiring segregation and disposal due to radioactivity</p> <p><u>Drill Site Scans</u> ECCC recommends before final inspection of the drill sites, that a Geiger counter scan (gamma scan)</p>	

	<p>Reference(s)        ☒ Waste Management Plan; Table 2.1: Non - hazardous (Inert) Wastes        ☒ Abandonment and Reclamation Plan; Ongoing Operations, Seasonal Abandonment, Final Abandonment and Restoration Plans – Ongoing Operations – Drill Hole Locations</p> <p>Comment        The Waste Management Plan states that disposal of drill cuttings will depend on uranium mineralization; if uranium mineralization is encountered the cuttings in sumps will be scanned to determine the gamma radiation levels. Cuttings with levels above 1 uSv/h at a height of 1 m will be collected and shipped to an appropriate disposal location. The cuttings will already be in a sump, and removal will be done after they have been deposited. This will result in double handling of the cuttings and leave a disturbed surface which could be vulnerable to thermal erosion and surface erosion, as well as containing residual radioactive cuttings.</p> <p>This contradicts the practices outlined in the Abandonment and Restoration Plan which states:        “If uranium mineralization is encountered in a drill hole and down hole conditions are such that drill return circulation persists, a drill cuttings separator will be employed to remove the radioactive material from the drilling fluids. Drill mud solids or cuttings with uranium concentration greater than 0.05 per cent must be collected pending completion of the hole at which time they will be disposed down the drill hole and sealed by grouting the upper 30 metres of bedrock.”</p> <p>Dealing with any radioactive cuttings at source as described represents a more efficient and</p>	<p>and radon gas scan be conducted at the drill holes that encountered uranium mineralization in order to ensure radiation levels do not pose any danger.</p> <p>FEMC will use a scintillometer gamma scan as Geiger counters have been old technology for over 50 years. We do not feel that radon gas scans are necessary at each drill site as this is not standard industry practice. All drill holes are cemented at the bedrock/overburden interface and if radioactivity is encountered in the drill hole, the entire hole is cemented.</p> <p><u>Species at Risk:</u>        ECCC Recommendation(s)        As species are assessed and listed on a regular basis, ECCC recommends the Proponent:        a) Consult the <a href="#">Species at Risk registry</a> to obtain the most current information for their operations.        b) Consult the Government of Nunavut to identify appropriate mitigation and/or monitoring measures to avoid and lessen project effects to species under their management responsibility.</p> <p>Forum thanks ECCC for their recommendation and links and the current Species at Risk table and will update the Wildlife Monitoring and Mitigation Plan with this information.</p>	
--	--	---	--

	<p>proactive approach. It is unclear if monitoring of radiation levels can reliably be done in real time.</p> <p>ECCC Recommendation(s) ECCC requests clarification be provided on: ☑ handling and disposal of radioactive drill cuttings. ☑ details of measurement techniques available for identifying drilling wastes requiring segregation and disposal due to radioactivity.</p> <p><b>2. Drill Site Scans</b></p> <p>Reference(s) ☑ Abandonment and Reclamation Plan; Ongoing Operations, Seasonal Abandonment, Final Abandonment and Restoration Plans – Ongoing Operations – Drill Hole Locations</p> <p>Comment The Abandonment and Restoration Plan states: “Any drill hole that encounters mineralization with uranium content greater than 1.0 per cent over a length of more than 1.0 metre, and with a meter-per-cent concentration greater than 5.0, will be sealed by grouting over the entire length of the mineralization zone and not less than 10 meters above or below each mineralization zone. The top 30 meters of the hole within bedrock will also be sealed by grouting once any radioactive cuttings and sludge have been disposed down the hole... A final inspection of the site will ensure that there is no remaining material at the site upon completion of the drill hole.” During restoration of the drill site after completion of drilling, it may be necessary to conduct gamma and radon gas scans of the drill holes that contain uranium mineralization in order to ensure that there are no residual radiation issues. It is likely where uranium</p>		
--	--	--	--

mineralization is present, radon-222 would also be present at corresponding levels; the radon gas scan would be useful to detect the tightness of the grouting.

ECCC Recommendation(s)

ECCC recommends before final inspection of the drill sites, that a Geiger counter scan (gamma scan) and radon gas scan be conducted at the drill holes that encountered uranium mineralization in order to ensure radiation levels do not pose any danger.

### 3. Species at Risk

Reference(s)

☑ Wildlife Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Comment

Species at risk are assessed by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) or added to Schedule 1 of *Species at Risk Act* (SARA) on a regular basis. It is important for Proponents to ensure they are aware of what species are present in the project area and take appropriate actions to ensure compliance with the SARA. The killing, harming or harassing of listed species as well as the damage and destruction of their residences is prohibited under SARA. In the territories, the prohibitions apply to:

☑ Threatened, Endangered and Extirpated species listed on Schedule 1 of SARA on ECCC and Parks Canada lands<sup>1</sup>

☑ Migratory Birds (as defined under the *Migratory Birds Convention Act* (MBCA)) everywhere they are found.

1 SARA s.35

(1) Sections 32 and 33 apply in each of the territories in respect of a listed wildlife species only to the extent that the Governor in Council, on the recommendation of the Minister, makes

	<p>an order providing that they, or any of them, apply. Exception (2) Subsection (1) does not apply (a) in respect of individuals of aquatic species and their habitat or species of birds that are migratory birds protected by the <a href="#">Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994</a>; or (b) on land under the authority of the Minister or the Parks Canada Agency.</p> <p>The destruction of critical habitat of species listed under Schedule 1 of SARA is prohibited on all lands identified within the critical habitat protection order for the species.</p> <p>ECCC Recommendation(s) As species are assessed and listed on a regular basis, ECCC recommends the Proponent: a) Consult the <a href="#">Species at Risk registry</a> to obtain the most current information for their operations. b) Consult the Government of Nunavut to identify appropriate mitigation and/or monitoring measures to avoid and lessen project effects to species under their management responsibility.</p> <p><b>4. Species at Risk Missing and/or Effects and Measures Missing</b></p> <p>Reference(s) ☑ Wildlife Monitoring and Mitigation Plan</p> <p>Comment Section 79 of SARA requires the assessor and decision body to ensure that where a project is likely to affect a listed species or its critical habitat, all adverse effects of the project are identified and considered in the assessment of the project. Appropriate measures must be taken to avoid or lessen those effects and include monitoring.</p>		
--	--	--	--

	<p>Measures should be consistent with applicable recovery documents.</p> <p>Section 79 applies to all listed species on schedule 1 of SARA including those listed as Special Concern, Threatened, Endangered, and Extirpated.</p> <p>The Proponent has not identified all species at risk that are likely to be present in the project area; and the status of all of the identified species at risk have not been provided. The Proponent has not identified adverse effects of the Project on identified species at risk specifically.</p> <p>As a matter of best practice, COSEWIC-assessed species should be assessed similar to those listed under SARA.</p> <p>The Table below lists species that may be encountered in the Project area that have been designated as at risk by COSEWIC as well as their current listing on Schedule 1 of SARA (and designation if different from that of COSEWIC). This list may not include all species identified as at risk by the territorial government. As species are assessed and listed on a regular basis, consult the <a href="#">Species at Risk registry</a> to maintain the most current information.</p>		















archaeologist or palaeontologist is accountable for the quality of work undertaken and the quality of the report produced. Facilities to conduct fieldwork, analysis, and report preparation should be available to this individual through institutional, agency, or company affiliations. Responsibility for the curation of objects recovered during field work while under study and for documents generated in the course of the study as well as remittance of artifacts, specimens and documents to the repository specified on the permit accrue to the contract archaeologist or palaeontologist. This individual is also bound by the legal requirements of the *Nunavut Archaeological and Palaeontological Sites Regulations*.

## Types of Development

In general, those developments that cause concern for the safety of heritage resources will include one or more of the following kinds of surface disturbances. These categories, in combination, are comprehensive of the major kinds of developments commonly proposed in Nunavut. For any single development proposal, several kinds of these disturbances may be involved

- *Linear disturbances: including the construction of highways, roads, winter roads, transmission lines, and pipelines;*
- *Extractive disturbances: including mining, gravel removal, quarrying, and land filling;*
- *Impoundment disturbances: including dams, reservoirs, and tailings ponds;*
- *Intensive land use disturbances: including industrial, residential, commercial, recreational, and land reclamation work, and use of heritage resources as tourist developments.*
- *Mineral, oil and gas exploration: establishment of camps, temporary airstrips, access routes, well sites, or quarries all have potential for impacting heritage resources.*

## Types of Studies Undertaken to Preserve Heritage Resources

**Overview:** An overview study of heritage resources should be conducted at the same time as the development project is being designed or its feasibility addressed. They usually lack specificity with regard to the exact location(s) and form(s) of impact and involve limited, if any, field surveys. Their main aim is to accumulate, evaluate, and synthesize the existing knowledge of the heritage of the known area of impact. The overview study provides managers with baseline data from which recommendations for future research and forecasts of potential impacts can be made. A Class I Permit is required for this type of study if field surveys are undertaken.

**Reconnaissance:** This is done to provide a judgmental appraisal of a region sufficient to provide the developer, the consultant, and government managers with recommendations



in consultation with, and approved by, the Department of Culture and Heritage. It is important to note that mitigation activities should be initiated as far in advance of the construction of the development as possible.

**Surveillance and monitoring:** These may be required as part of the mitigation program.

*Surveillance* may be conducted during the construction phase of a project to ensure that the developer has complied with the recommendations.

*Monitoring* involves identification and inspection of residual and long-term impacts of a development (i.e. shoreline stability of a reservoir); or the use of impacts to disclose the presence of heritage resources, for example, the uncovering of buried sites during the construction of a pipeline.