

Table 1. Conformity Table for Impact Statement

Project Title						
Reference to Applicable Section in IS	Description	Document Section in Impact Statement			Gaps or Missing Data	Expected Submission for Gaps
		Document (Volume/Appendix)	Section	Page Numbers (pdf)		
Submission Requirements						
General	Documents provided in PDF, not password protected, in-text searching, able to copy text/images/information. Respects limited broadband in the north.					
	Hard copies of the Impact Statement provided as necessary.					
	Tables, figures, pictures, three-dimensional modeling, videos, etc. provided.					
	Maps presented in a consistent and clearly identified datum and with clearly identified scales to allow for comparison and overlay of mapped features.					
Main Document	Stand-alone main document that includes sufficient details for the NIRB and parties to learn about and understand the proposed project, potential impacts, mitigation and monitoring measures, residual impacts, and the significance of those impacts, as well as the Project's contribution to sustainability. The main document must be written in accessible language.					
Conformity Table	A conformity table is provided that cross references the information presented in the Impact Statement with the information requirements identified in the Standard Impact Statement Guidelines and any applicable project specific guidance (including document, section, and page number).					
	Impact statement is presented in the same order as the Standard Impact Statement Guidelines. Deviations should be justified.					
Summaries	Executive Summary available as a separate document that contains sufficient details for the reader to learn and understand the Project, potential ecosystemic and socio-economic impacts, mitigation measures, significance of residual impacts, and follow-up and monitoring programs (presented in English, French, Inuktitut).					
	Non-technical, accessible, and plain language summary that contains sufficient details for the public to learn about and understand the Project, potential ecosystemic and socio-economic impacts, mitigation measures, significance of residual impacts, and follow-up and monitoring programs (presented in English, French, Inuktitut).					
Introductory Sections						
Proponent Information	Interests, management structures, operational experience, record of compliance, corporate policies, etc. and the posting of performance bonds provided.					
	Interests in land and waters that the Proponent has acquired or seeks to acquire.					
Project Overview	The capacity of renewable resources that are likely to be significantly impacted by the proposed project to meet the existing and future needs of the residents of the designated area.					
Project Scope	Project scope, including proposed project components and activities, scheduling details, project phases and timing, key features, location, and geographical setting.					
Regulatory Regime	Identification of requirements of all relevant ecosystemic and socio-economic standards, laws, regulations, policies, guidelines, resource management plans, land use plans, any relevant regional studies or strategic assessments relating to project approval, and all phases of the proposed project.					
Detailed Project Description						
Project Description	The purpose and need for the project.					
	How the proposed project design has been influenced by the ecosystemic environment, climate change, current and future land use, potential impacts to humans and communities, potential impacts to wildlife, socio-economic conditions, archaeological resources, public engagement, etc., and show how the proposed project has been designed to contribute to ecosystemic integrity and sustainability.					
	The options for carrying out the project were selected through consideration of potential impacts, technical feasibility, and economic viability, best available technology, and application of the precautionary principle.					

	Conceptual design of foreseeable development to ensure no "project splitting".				
	Proposed project development and closure costs, employment opportunities, contracting, employment benefits and programs, communities of hire, and commuting arrangements.				
Impact Assessment Methodology					
Public Engagement					
Meaningful Public Engagement and Participants in Engagement	Information from engagement activities has contributed to decisions regarding the proposed project, including project design and plans, scoping, collection and development of baseline information, assessment of impacts, and informed mitigation or accommodating identified impacts and in designing monitoring and follow up programs.				
	Public engagement plan submitted.				
	The Proponent has meaningfully engaged the public and potentially impacted communities throughout development of the impact statement.				
Methods of Engagement	The methods used to undertake each engagement are described.				
Engagement Details and Outcomes	The information and knowledge shared during each engagement are described.				
	Details on how the Proponent intends to address the concerns identified through public engagement throughout the life of the project. Rationale for conclusions differing from community views must be provided.				
	Description on how the Proponent used public engagement to identify the extent the proposed project reflects the priorities and values of the residents of the designated area.				
Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit, Indigenous Knowledge, and Community Knowledge					
Methods for Gathering Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit, Indigenous Knowledge, and Community Knowledge	Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit Plan submitted.				
	The methodology used to gather, record, interpret, analyze, and synthesize Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit is clearly described.				
	Applicable Inuit protocols were followed for the collection, protection, and use of Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit and this is demonstrated in the Proponent's submissions. The information shared has been validated.				
	Free, Prior, and Informed consent of Knowledge holders involved in Project Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit studies granted to gather and apply the knowledge shared for the development of the Impact Statement.				
Details and Outcomes	Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit, Indigenous Knowledge, and Community Knowledge has been gathered, evaluated, and considered in the conclusions presented in the Impact Statement.				
	Plans to address concerns identified through Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit, Indigenous Knowledge, and Community Knowledge.				
	Rationale for any differences in conclusions between knowledge sources and plans to address.				
	Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit, Indigenous Knowledge, and Community Knowledge has informed development of mitigation and management measures.				
	Inuit perspectives on what constitutes a significant impact and whether the project will cause or contribute to significant adverse impacts are integrated into the Impact Statement.				
Managing Confidential Information	Describe and define the terms used to identify levels of significance.				
Scope of the Impact Assessment					
Valued Ecosystemic and Socio-Economic Components	Identification of valued ecosystemic and socio-economic components, processes, and interactions that are likely to be impacted by the proposed project. Description of method of selection and assessment of adverse and beneficial impacts of the proposed project on the valued components.				
	Priority issues related to the proposed project as identified by parties.				
Assessment Boundaries	Identification of spatial and temporal boundaries of the impact assessment.				
Description of the Ecosystemic and Socio-Economic Environments and Baseline Information					
Baseline Information	Description of historical background and current baseline environment and ecosystemic and socio-economic trends within the proposed project area.				
Impact Assessment Approach					
Impact Prediction					

Impact Prediction	Description of how scientific evidence, Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit, Traditional Knowledge, and/or Community Knowledge was used to predict impacts.				
	Description of how well-being and the values of potentially impacted communities are reflected in the prediction of impacts.				
	Description of how engagement with specific groups informed the impact assessment and predictions.				
	Gaps or uncertainty in the impact assessment (including the methods used, information available, and results) identified.				
	Key differences in conclusions drawn from knowledge sources and justification for the Proponent's preference, if any, identified and described.				
Impacts of the Environment on the Project					
Predicting Potential Impacts of the Environment on the Project	The anticipated impacts of the ecosystemic environment on the project, including impacts associated with natural phenomena, such as meteorological and seismological activity and climate change.				
Climate Change					
Identifying GHG Emissions and Project Impacts to Climate Change Commitments	Analysis of the Project's greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions against relevant emissions reduction efforts, targets, or climate change legislation, regulation, or policies.				
	Impact on carbon sinks, both positive and negative.				
	When required, an upstream GHG assessment.				
	When required, a net-zero plan.				
	Proposed mitigation measures, including an evaluation of best available technologies and environmental practices as well as offsetting.				
Identifying Project Impacts on Valued Components in the Context of Climate Change	Rationale for the selection of climate models and associated scenarios, including an assessment of the degree of uncertainty associated with climate models and scenarios applied, and the related impact on other predictions in the Impact Statement.				
	Impacts of climate change on valued components.				
	Vulnerability of valued components in the context of climate change, including how the vulnerability of valued components may change over time, and how this informs the assessment of the Project's impact on valued components.				
	Where interactions occur with the Project, an incorporation of climate change uncertainty into the assessment of the Project's impacts on valued components.				
	How the modelling and evaluation of impacts of climate change to valued components and proposed mitigations were informed by the public engagement process.				
	How the Proponent has considered and reflected Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit, Indigenous Knowledge, and Community Knowledge in the assessment of climate change impacts on valued components, including how engagement informed the assessment and proposed mitigations of impacts to valued components.				
	How climate change has informed the proposed mitigation measures, particularly the resilience and/or adaptation of valued components to the impacts from the Project.				
Assessing Project Climate Change Resilience	Rationale for the selection of natural hazards and influences of nature for the assessment of project resilience, including how climate parameters and associated trends have informed the selection and assessment.				
	Rationale for selection of climate models and associated scenarios, including an assessment of the degree of uncertainty or confidence associated with climate models and scenarios applied, and the related impact on other predictions in the Impact Statement.				
	Risks and/or impacts on the Project from climate change, including implications and considerations for project design, planning, construction, operations, and closure.				
	Statement on the climate change resilience of the project, including operations, financial viability, proposed commitments and/or mitigation measures, and closure.				

	Evidence that Inuit perspectives and observations on climate change, Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit, Indigenous Knowledge, Community Knowledge, and best available scientific knowledge, has been applied to inform the understanding and assessment of potential future risks due to climate change.					
Cumulative Impacts Assessment						
Cumulative Impacts Assessment	Identify the Project's predicted cumulative impacts on valued components and ecosystemic and socio-economic systems in combination with reasonably foreseeable projects and activities, including proposed future activities associated with the proposed Project under assessment, within determined spatial and temporal assessment boundaries.					
	Assess the significance of residual cumulative impacts after the application of proposed mitigation measures, with a focus on the Project's relative contribution to cumulative impacts.					
	Identify potential follow-up measures that are aligned with applicable regional efforts to adaptively manage cumulative impacts.					
Transboundary Impacts and Impacts to Indigenous Groups Asserting s. 35 Rights						
Assessing Transboundary Impacts and Impacts to Indigenous Groups Asserting s. 35 Rights	The anticipated ecosystemic and socio-economic impacts of the proposed project which may occur outside of the designated area.					
	The anticipated ecosystemic and socio-economic impacts on Indigenous Groups asserting s. 35 rights.					
Indicators, Criteria, and Parameters						
Identifying Indicators, Criteria, and Parameters for Assessment	Identify and describe all relevant indicators, criteria, and parameters used in the impact assessment.					
Significance Determination						
Significance Determination	The methods used in developing significance determinations are described.					
	The terms used to identify levels of significance are defined and described.					
	How each factor defined in s. 90 of the NuPPAA, as well as other relevant factors identified by the NIRB (e.g., in Standard or Project-specific Impact Statement Guidelines), was considered is described.					
	How scientific evidence, Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit, Traditional Knowledge, and Community Knowledge was used to inform the methods and conclusions of the significance determination are described.					
	How well-being and the values of potentially impacted communities are reflected in the determination of significance is described.					
	How engagement with specific groups informed the significance determination is described.					
	Any key differences in conclusions drawn from knowledge sources and justification for the Proponent's preference, if any, are described.					
	Gaps or uncertainty in the significance determination are described.					
Certainty of Impacts	The Proponent shall identify the degree of uncertainty or confidence associated with each predicted impact and level of significance.					
Project Level Impact Assessment						
Ecosystemic and Socio-Economic Environment and Impact Assessment	The anticipated ecosystemic and socio-economic impacts of the proposed project, including those arising from the impacts of the environment on the proposed project.					
	Proposed mitigation measures and monitoring for predicted impacts.					
	Analysis of the potential residual impacts on the valued components. Includes the significance of residual ecosystemic and socio-economic impacts of the proposed project, including cumulative impacts, taking into account the mitigation measures proposed by the Proponent.					
	Whether the anticipated ecosystemic and socio-economic impacts of the proposed project, including cumulative impacts, would unduly prejudice the ecosystemic integrity of the designated area.					
	The significance of residual ecosystemic and socio-economic impacts of the proposed project, considering the precautionary principle, cumulative impacts, and mitigation measures proposed by the Proponent.					
	Whether, and to what extent, the proposed project would protect and enhance the existing and future well-being of the residents and communities of the designated area, considering the interests of other Canadians.					

Human Health and Environmental Risk Assessment	Human Health Risk Assessment conducted as appropriate with summary of proposed mitigation measures to prevent or reduce adverse health risks from the proposed project.					
	Environmental Risk Assessment conducted with summary of proposed mitigation measures to prevent or reduce adverse environmental risks from the proposed project.					
Accident and Malfunctions Assessment	Assessment conducted of accident and malfunction scenarios caused by technological and/or human error or exceptional natural events that have a reasonable probability of occurring.					
Environmental Management System						
Environmental Management	Environmental Management System, Environmental Protection Plan, and associated mitigation and monitoring plans to address predicted ecosystemic and socio-economic impacts from the proposed project.					
	The measures, including those proposed by the Proponent, that should be taken to: •avoid and mitigate adverse ecosystemic and socio-economic impacts, including contingency plans; •optimize the benefits of the project, with specific consideration given to expressed community and regional preferences regarding benefits; •compensate persons whose interests are adversely impacted by the project; and •restore ecosystemic integrity after the permanent closure of the project.					
	Preliminary Closure and Reclamation Plan for the proposed project, which outlines how the various project components will be designed for closure and how they will be decommissioned, reclaimed, and closed following project facilities closure.					
	Preliminary Care and Maintenance Plan developed for the proposed project in conjunction with the Closure and Reclamation Plan, which outlines how the various project components will be addressed in the event of a <i>temporary closure</i> or <i>unplanned closure</i> of the proposed project.					
List of Consultants and Organizations						
Reporting on Contributors to the Impact Statement	List of all the consultants who contributed to the preparation of the Impact Statement, including their professional credentials, role, and contact information.					