



SCREENING DECISION REPORT NIRB FILE No.: 26YN050

NPC File No.: 151246
NWB License: 3BM-CLY1924

August 26, 2026

Following the Nunavut Impact Review Board's (NIRB or Board) assessment of all materials provided, the NIRB is recommending that a review of Government of Nunavut's "Clyde River Municipal Drinking Water Source Investigative Fisheries Study" is not required pursuant to Article 12, Section 12.4.4(a) of the *Agreement between the Inuit of the Nunavut Settlement Area and Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada (Nunavut Agreement)* and s. 92(1)(a) of the *Nunavut Planning and Project Assessment Act*, S.C. 2013, c. 14, s. 2 (*NuPPAA*).

Subject to the Proponent's compliance with the terms and conditions as set out in below, issued in accordance with s. 92(2)(a) of *NuPPAA*, the NIRB is of the view that the project proposal is not likely to cause significant public concerns, and it is unlikely to result in significant adverse environmental and social impacts. The NIRB therefore recommends that the responsible Minister(s) accepts this Screening Decision Report.

OUTLINE OF SCREENING DECISION REPORT

REGULATORY FRAMEWORK	2
PROJECT OVERVIEW & THE NIRB ASSESSMENT PROCESS	2
ASSESSMENT OF THE PROJECT PROPOSAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH PART 3 OF <i>NUPPAA</i>	4
VIEWS OF THE BOARD	6
RECOMMENDED PROJECT-SPECIFIC TERMS AND CONDITIONS	8
OTHER NIRB CONCERNS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	10
CONCLUSION	11
<u>TABLES</u>	
TABLE 1: NIRB'S ASSESSMENT PROCESS	2
TABLE 2: COMMENTS RECEIVED	4
TABLE 3: SUMMARY OF THE BOARD'S ASSESSMENT OF FACTORS S. 90 <i>NuPPAA</i>	4
<u>APPENDICES</u>	
APPENDIX A: SPECIES AT RISK IN NUNAVUT	12

REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

The primary objectives of the NIRB are set out in Article 12, Section 12.2.5 of the *Nunavut Agreement* and are confirmed by s. 23 of the *NuPPAA*. The purpose of screening is provided for under Article 12, Section 12.4.1 of the *Nunavut Agreement* and s. 88 of the *NuPPAA*.

As set out under Article 12, Section 12.4.4 of the *Nunavut Agreement* and s. 92(1) of the *NuPPAA*, upon conclusion of the screening process, the Board must provide its written report the Minister indicating one of three options:

- (a) a review of the project is not required;
- (b) a review of the project is required; or
- (c) the project should be modified or abandoned.

PROJECT OVERVIEW & THE NIRB ASSESSMENT PROCESS

On July 22, 2026, the NIRB received a referral to screen Government of Nunavut (GN)’s “Clyde River Municipal Drinking Water Source Investigative Fisheries Study” project proposal (NIRB File No: 26YN050) from the Nunavut Planning Commission (Commission), with an accompanying positive conformity determination with the North Baffin Regional Land Use Plan. All documents received and pertaining to this project proposal can be accessed from the NIRB’s Public Registry by using any of the following search criteria or www.nirb.ca/project/126479.

- Project Name: Clyde River Municipal Drinking Water Source Investigative Fisheries Study
- NIRB File No.: 26YN050
- NIRB Application No.: 126479

Table 1: NIRB’s Assessment Process

Date	Stage
July 22, 2026	Receipt of project proposal and positive conformity determination (North Baffin Regional Land Use Plan) from the Commission
July 22, 2026	Pursuant to s. 144(1) of the <i>NuPPAA</i> the NIRB requested the Proponent complete an online application to address information required for Screening
July 27, 2026	Receipt of online application from Proponent
July 27, 2026	Scoping pursuant to s. 86(1) of the <i>NuPPAA</i>
July 28, 2026	NIRB conducted an inclusion or exclusion of scope
July 29, 2026	Public engagement and comment request (which included draft terms and conditions) was issued in English with Inuktitut translations provided once available
August 19, 2026	Receipt of public comments
August 26, 2026	Issuance of Screening Decision Report

Project Scope

Location	North Qikiqtani region, at the municipal drinking water source within the municipal boundaries of Clyde River.
Objective	The Proponent intends to conduct fish sampling activities to collect information on fish species presence and relative abundance to determine how the source can continue to support the community's drinking water needs while protecting fish and fish habitat, and to support future upgrades to the municipality's drinking water system.
Timeline	July 10, 2026, to September 10, 2026; (one-time, two (2) months project period, with approximately five days of field activities anticipated during this period.).

As required under s. 86(1) of the *NuPPAA*, the Board accepted the scope of the project as set out by GN in the proposal. The scope of the project proposal includes the following undertakings, works, or activities:

- **Undertakings, Works and Activities**
 - Fish sampling using trap nets, electrofishing, and if needed, angling with barbless hooks.
 - Fish would be measured, weighed, and released alive.
- **Equipment and Fuel Use**
 - Accessing the sampling location by truck, ATV, non-motorized boat and/or on foot depending on site conditions. Fueling of vehicles would be done prior to conducting activities, and no fuel is anticipated to be stored on site.
- **Water Use and Waste**
 - Minimal waste such as disposable gloves and fishing line is anticipated and would be brought back to town and disposed of at the local municipal waste site.
 - Water is expected to be withdrawn during the process of fish collection but would be returned to the lake and no water consumption is anticipated.

1. Inclusion or Exclusion to Scoping List

The NIRB has identified no additional works or activities in relation to the project proposal; as a result, the NIRB will proceed with screening the project based on the scope as described above.

2. Public Comments and Concerns

As outlined in Table 1 above, notices regarding the NIRB's screening of this project proposal were distributed to community organizations as well as to relevant federal and territorial government agencies, Inuit organizations and other parties with a request for interested parties to provide the Board with any comments or concerns regarding:

- Whether the project proposal is likely to arouse significant public concern; and if so, why;
- Whether the project proposal is likely to cause significant adverse eco-systemic or socio-economic effects; and if so, why;
- Whether the project proposal is likely to cause significant adverse impacts on wildlife habitat or Inuit harvest activities; and if so, why;

- Whether the project proposal is of a type where the potential adverse effects are highly predictable and mitigable with known technology, (and providing any recommended mitigation measures); and
- Any matter of importance to the Party related to the project proposal.

On or before Augst 19, 2026, the NIRB received comments from the following interested parties:

Table 2: Comments Received

Commenting Party	NIRB Doc ID No.
Crown-Indigenous Relations and Northern Affairs Canada	362785
Transport Canada	362632

a. Summary of Comments and Concerns Received

The following provides a summary of the comments and concerns received by the NIRB in relation to the Clyde River Municipal Drinking Water Source Investigative Fisheries Study project proposal:

Crown-Indigenous Relations and Northern Affairs Canada

- No comments received during the commenting period.

Transport Canada

- No comments received during the commenting period.

3. *b. Comments and Concerns with respect to Inuit Qaujimaningit, Indigenous and Community Knowledge*

No concerns or comments were received with respect to Inuit Qaujimaningit or Indigenous and Community knowledge in relation to the proposed project. However, Inuit Qaujimaningit and Indigenous and community knowledge is incorporated into the terms and conditions recommended below based on information collected from prior and similar projects, data collected and mapped by the Commission, and other available sources.

ASSESSMENT OF THE PROJECT PROPOSAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH PART 3 OF *NuPPAA*

In determining whether a review of the project is required, the Board considered whether the project proposal had potential to result in significant ecosystemic or socio-economic impacts. Table 3. The Board took particular care to consider Inuit Qaujimaningit, Indigenous and Community Knowledge in carrying out its assessment and determination of the significance of impacts.

Table 3: Summary of the Board's Assessment of Factors s. 90 *NuPPAA*

Factor	Comment
The size of the geographic area, including the size of wildlife habitats, likely to be affected by the impacts.	▪ The physical footprint of the proposed project is limited to the municipal drinking water source and associated sampling locations within the Municipality of Clyde River.

Factor	Comment
	▪ The proposed project would take place within habitat that may be used by migratory and non-migratory birds, terrestrial wildlife and Species at Risk, as well as fish and fish habitat within the municipal drinking water source.
The ecosystemic sensitivity of that area.	▪ The proposed project would occur within the Municipality of Clyde River's drinking water source and within freshwater fish habitat. No other specific areas of ecosystemic sensitivity have been identified by the Proponent within the physical footprint of the proposed project.
The historical, cultural and archaeological significance of that area.	▪ No specific areas of historical, cultural and archaeological significance have been identified by the Proponent within the physical footprint of the proposed project.
The size of the human and the animal populations likely to be affected by the impacts.	▪ The proposed project is unlikely to result in significant impacts to human receptors due to the small scale, short duration, and localized nature of the proposed activities. ▪ The proposed Project is unlikely to result in significant impacts to animal populations. Potential effects are expected to be localized and temporary, with fish captured during sampling to be handled minimally and released alive.
The nature, magnitude and complexity of the impacts; the probability of the impacts occurring; the frequency and duration of the impacts; and the reversibility or irreversibility of the impacts.	▪ Due to the small scale and short duration of the proposed Project, potential impacts are expected to be low in magnitude, localized, temporary and reversible ▪ With adherence to the relevant regulatory requirements and application of the mitigation measures recommended by the NIRB, no significant residual effects are expected to occur.
The cumulative impacts that could result from the impacts of the project combined with those of any other project that has been carried out, is being carried out or is likely to be carried out.	▪ The NIRB has not identified any past, present, and reasonably foreseeable projects at this time; however, the Board has recommended terms and conditions along with mitigation measures designed with consideration for the potential for cumulative effects in the Board Views section.
Any other factor that the Board considers relevant to the assessment of the significance of impacts.	▪ No other relevant factors were identified; however, see below for Regulatory Requirements mandating mitigation and/or reporting.

Regulatory Requirements

The Proponent is also advised that the following legislation may apply to the Project:

Acts and Regulations

1. The *Fisheries Act* (<http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/F-14/index.html>).
2. The *Migratory Birds Convention Act* (<http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/M-7.01/>)
3. The *Wildlife Act (Nunavut)* and its corresponding regulations (<http://www.canlii.org/en/nu/laws/stat/snu-2003-c-26/latest/snu-2003-c-26.html>).

Views of the Board

In considering the above factors, the Board has identified the following and respectfully provides its views regarding whether or not the proposed project has the potential to result in significant impacts. The NIRB has also proposed terms and conditions that would mitigate the potential adverse impacts identified.

Ecosystem, wildlife habitat and Inuit harvesting activities:

Valued Component	Migratory and non-migratory birds, terrestrial and Species at Risk
Potential effects:	Field activities, including personnel presence and access by truck, ATV, or on foot, may result in temporary noise and visual disturbance to migratory and non-migratory birds, terrestrial wildlife, and Species at Risk that may be present within the project area. These activities may result in temporary avoidance of localized areas during field activities.
Nature of Impacts:	Impacts are expected to be low in magnitude and localized to areas where field activities occur. As the project involves a small field crew conducting activities over approximately five days, effects are anticipated to be short-term, temporary, and reversible, with wildlife expected to resume normal use of the area following completion of activities.
Mitigating Factors:	Access will be by truck, ATV, or on foot depending on site conditions, limiting project activities to the duration and area required to complete the fisheries study.
Proposed Terms and Conditions:	Wildlife – General – 9 Migratory Birds and Raptors Disturbance – 10

Valued Component	Surface water quality and fish and fish habitat
Potential effects:	Fish sampling activities, including the use of trap nets, electrofishing, and angling where required, may result in temporary disturbance, capture, and handling of fish within the municipal drinking water source. Project activities near and within the lake may also result in localized interactions with fish habitat and surface water quality.
Nature of Impacts:	Impacts are expected to be low in magnitude and localized to the areas where sampling activities occur. Effects are anticipated to be short-term, temporary, and reversible, as sampling will occur over approximately five days, no consumptive water use is proposed.

Mitigating Factors:	The Proponent will use non-destructive fish sampling techniques, including trap nets or electrofishing. Angling may be used depending on the success of other sampling methods and will employ barbless hooks with minimal handling of fish. All captured fish will be identified to species, measured, weighed, and released alive. Water associated with fish collection will be returned to the lake, and water use is expected to be negligible.
Proposed Terms and Conditions:	Water course/Water bodies – 6 Waste Management – 7 and 8

Valued Component	Vegetation, land, soils, terrain stability
Potential effects:	Access to sampling locations by truck, ATV, or on foot may result in localized disturbance to vegetation, soils, and terrain within the Project area, including minor soil compaction or surface disturbance.
Nature of Impacts:	Impacts are expected to be low in magnitude and localized to areas used for access and field activities. Effects are anticipated to be short-term, temporary, and reversible.
Mitigating Factors:	The Project has a limited geographic footprint. Access will be by truck, ATV, or on foot depending on site conditions, and field activities will be limited to those required to complete the fisheries study.
Proposed Terms and Conditions:	Land Use and Restoration of Disturbed Areas – 11 to 13

Valued Component	Public and traditional land use
Potential effects:	Project activities and personnel presence at the municipal drinking water source may temporarily interact with public and traditional land use activities in the area, including travel, fishing, harvesting, and other traditional activities.
Nature of Impacts:	Impacts are expected to be low in magnitude, localized, and limited to the duration of field activities. Effects are anticipated to be short-term, temporary, and reversible, with continued access and use of the surrounding area expected during following activities.
Mitigating Factors:	The Project is temporary, involves a small field crew, and is limited in geographic extent. The Proponent has engaged with the Municipality of Clyde River regarding proposed activities.
Proposed Terms and Conditions:	Other – 14 and 15

Socio-economic effects on northerners:

Valued Component	Employment and hiring
Potential effects:	Project is small in scale and short in duration, with approximately two personnel anticipated to conduct field activities over five days. As such, the Project is not anticipated to result in significant employment or hiring opportunities within the community.

Nature of Impacts:	Potential socio-economic effects associated with employment and hiring are expected to be negligible due to the limited duration and small workforce required to complete the project.
Mitigating Factors:	No specific mitigation measures related to employment and hiring were identified by the proponent.
Proposed Terms and Conditions:	Other – 16

Valued Component	Drinking water quality, public health, and municipal services
Potential effects:	Fish sampling activities may temporarily interact with the municipal drinking water source; however, no water withdrawal or discharge is proposed.
Nature of Impacts:	Impacts are expected to be low in magnitude, localized, short-term and reversible, with no anticipated effects on drinking water quality or municipal water services.
Mitigating Factors:	The Proponent will use non-lethal sampling methods, minimize disturbance to the waterbody, prevent the release of waste or contaminants, and remove all waste following sampling activities.
Proposed Terms and Conditions:	Water course/Water bodies – 6 Waste Management – 7 and 8

Technological innovations for which the effects are unknown:

- No specific issues have been identified associated with this project proposal.

Administrative Conditions:

To encourage compliance with applicable regulatory requirements and assist the Board and responsible authorities with compliance and effects monitoring for project activities, the following project-specific terms and conditions have been recommended: 1-5.

In considering the above factors and subject to the Proponent's compliance with regulatory requirements and the terms and conditions necessary to mitigate against the potential adverse environmental and social effects, the Board is of the view that the proposed project is unlikely to cause significant public concern and its adverse ecosystemic and socioeconomic impacts are unlikely to be significant or are highly predictable and can be adequately mitigated by known technologies.

RECOMMENDED PROJECT-SPECIFIC TERMS AND CONDITIONS

The Board is recommending the following specific terms and conditions to apply in respect of the project:

General

1. Government of Nunavut (the Proponent) shall maintain a copy of the Project Terms and Conditions at the site of operation at all times and make it accessible to enforcement officers upon request.
2. The Proponent shall operate in accordance with all commitments stated in correspondence provided to the Nunavut Planning Commission (NPC File No.: 151246), and the NIRB (Online Application Form, July 27, 2026). This information should be accessible to enforcement officers upon request.
3. The Proponent shall operate the site in accordance with all applicable Acts, Regulations and Guidelines.
4. The Proponent shall ensure that it meets the standards and/or limits as set out in the authorizing agencies' permits or licences as required for this project.
5. The Proponent shall ensure that all personnel, staff and contractors are adequately trained prior to commencement of all project activities, and shall be made aware of all operational plans, management plans, guidelines and Proponent commitments relating to the project.

Water courses/Water bodies (including fresh and marine waters)

6. The Proponent shall not deposit, nor permit the deposit of any fuel, chemicals, wastes (including wastewater) or sediment into any water body. The Proponent should have in place an Emergency Spill Response Plan that is approved by the appropriate authorizing agency(ies).

Waste Management

7. The Proponent shall manage all hazardous and non-hazardous waste including food, domestic wastes, debris and petroleum-based chemicals (e.g., greases, gasoline, glycol-based antifreeze) in such a manner to avoid release into the environment and access to wildlife at all times until disposed of appropriately or at an approved facility.
8. The Proponent shall dispose of all combustible wastes as required by the appropriate authorizing agencies. All non-combustible wastes from the project site shall be removed to an approved facility for disposal.

Wildlife – General

9. The Proponent shall ensure that all wildlife have the right-of-way on any roads or trails. Vehicles are required to slow down or stop and wait to permit the free and unrestricted movement of wildlife across roads or trails at any location.

Migratory Birds and Raptors Disturbance

10. The Proponent shall carry out all phases of the project in a manner that protects migratory birds and avoids harming, killing or disturbing migratory birds or destroying, disturbing or taking their nests or eggs. In this regard, the Proponent shall take into account Environment and Climate Change Canada's *Avoidance Guidelines*. The Proponent's actions in applying the *Avoidance Guidelines* shall be in compliance with the *Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994* and with the *Species at Risk Act*.

Land Use and Restoration of Disturbed Areas

11. The Proponent shall use existing trails where possible during project activities on the land.
12. The Proponent shall ensure that the land use area is kept clean and tidy at all times.
13. The Proponent shall remove all garbage, fuel and equipment at the end of each field season and/or upon completion of work and/or upon abandonment.

Other

14. The Proponent should engage with local residents regarding planned activities in the area and should solicit available Inuit Qaujimaningit and information regarding current recreational and traditional usage of the project area which may inform project activities. Posting of translated public notices and direct engagement with potentially interested groups and individuals prior to undertaking project activities is strongly encouraged.
15. The Proponent shall ensure that project activities do not interfere with Inuit wildlife harvesting or traditional land use activities.
16. The Proponent should, to the extent possible, hire local people and access local services where possible.

OTHER NIRB CONCERNS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In addition to the project-specific terms and conditions, the Board is recommending the following:

Change in Project Scope

1. Responsible authorities or Proponent shall notify the Nunavut Planning Commission and/or Parks Canada as appropriate, and the NIRB of any changes in operating plans or conditions, including phase advancement, associated with this project prior to any such change.

Copy of licences, etc. to the Board and Commission

2. The NIRB respectfully requests that responsible authorities submit a copy of each licence, permit or other authorization issued for the Project to the NIRB to assist in enabling possible project monitoring that may be required. Please forward a copy of the licences, permits and/or other authorizations to the NIRB directly at info@nirb.ca or upload a copy to the NIRB's online registry at www.nirb.ca.

Use of Inuit Qaujimaningit

3. The Proponent is encouraged to work with local communities and knowledge holders to inform project design, to carry out the project, and to confirm or validate the perspectives represented in publications, and reports as part of the project. Care should be taken to ensure that Inuit Qaujimaningit and local knowledge collected for the project is used with permission and is accurately represented.

Bear and Carnivore Safety

4. The Proponent should review the Government of Nunavut's booklet on Bear Safety, which can be downloaded from this link: http://gov.nu.ca/sites/default/files/bear_safety_-_reducing_bear-people_conflicts_in_nunavut.pdf.
5. Any problem wildlife or any interaction with carnivores should be reported immediately to the local Government of Nunavut, Department of Environment Conservation Office (Conservation Officer of Clyde River, phone: (867) 924-6235)

Migratory Birds

6. The Proponent review Canadian Wildlife Services' "Key migratory bird terrestrial habitat sites in the Northwest Territories and Nunavut", available at the following link: <http://publications.gc.ca/site/eng/317630/publication.html> and "Key marine habitat sites for migratory birds in Nunavut and the Northwest Territories", available at the following link: <http://publications.gc.ca/site/eng/392824/publication.html>. The guide provides information to the Proponent on key terrestrial and marine habitat areas that are essential to the welfare of various migratory bird species in Canada.

CONCLUSION

The foregoing constitutes the Board's screening decision with respect to the Government of Nunavut's "Clyde River Municipal Drinking Water Source Investigative Fisheries Study". The NIRB remains available for consultation with the Minister regarding this report as necessary.

Dated August 26, 2026 at Iqaluit, NU.



Albert Ehloak, Chairperson

Attachments: Appendix A: Species at Risk in Nunavut

APPENDIX A: SPECIES AT RISK IN NUNAVUT

Due to the requirements of Section 79(2) of the *Species at Risk Act*, S.C. 2002, c. 29 (*SARA*), and the potential for project-specific adverse effects on listed wildlife species and its critical habitat, measures should be taken as appropriate to avoid or lessen those effects, and the effects need to be monitored. Project effects could include species disturbance, attraction to operations and destruction of habitat. This section applies to all species listed on Schedule 1 of *SARA*, as listed in the table below, or have been assessed by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC), which may be encountered in the project area. This list may not include all species identified as at risk by the Territorial Government. The following points provide clarification on the applicability of the species outlined in the table.

- Schedule 1 is the official legal list of Species at Risk for *SARA*. *SARA* applies to all species on Schedule 1. The term “listed” species refers to species on Schedule 1.
- Schedule 2 and 3 of *SARA* identify species that were designated at risk by the COSEWIC prior to October 1999 and must be reassessed using revised criteria before they can be considered for addition to Schedule 1.
- Some species identified at risk by COSEWIC are “pending” addition to Schedule 1 of *SARA*. These species are under consideration for addition to Schedule 1, subject to further consultation or assessment.

If species at risk are encountered or affected, the primary mitigation measure should be avoidance. The Proponent should avoid contact with or disturbance to each species, its habitat and/or its residence. All direct, indirect, and cumulative effects should be considered. Refer to species status reports and other information on the Species at Risk Registry at <http://www.sararegistry.gc.ca> for information on specific species.

Monitoring should be undertaken by the Proponent to determine the effectiveness of mitigation and/or identify where further mitigation is required. As a minimum, this monitoring should include recording the locations and dates of any observations of Species at Risk, behaviour or actions taken by the animals when project activities were encountered, and any actions taken by the proponent to avoid contact or disturbance to the species, its habitat, and/or its residence. This information should be submitted to the appropriate regulators and organizations with management responsibility for that species, as requested.

For species primarily managed by the Territorial Government, the Territorial Government should be consulted to identify other appropriate mitigation and/or monitoring measures to minimize effects to these species from the project.

Mitigation and monitoring measures must be undertaken in a way that is consistent with applicable recovery strategies and action/management plans.

Schedules of *SARA* are amended on a regular basis, so it is important to check the *SARA* registry (www.sararegistry.gc.ca) to get the current status of a species.

Updated: September 2024

Terrestrial Species at Risk¹	COSEWIC Designation	Schedule of SARA	Government Organization with Primary Management Responsibility²
Buff-breasted Sandpiper	Special Concern	Schedule 1	Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC)
Common Nighthawk	Threatened	Schedule 1	ECCC
Eskimo Curlew	Endangered	Schedule 1	ECCC
Harlequin Duck	Special Concern	Schedule 1	ECCC
Harris's Sparrow	Special Concern	Schedule 1	ECCC
Horned Grebe	Special Concern	Schedule 1	ECCC
Ivory Gull	Endangered	Schedule 1	ECCC
Olive-sided Flycatcher	Threatened	Schedule 1	ECCC
Peregrine Falcon	Special Concern	Schedule 1	ECCC
Red Knot Islandica Subspecies	Special Concern	Schedule 1	ECCC
Red-necked Phalarope	Special Concern	Schedule 1	ECCC
Ross's Gull	Threatened	Schedule 1	ECCC
Rusty Blackbird	Special Concern	Schedule 1	ECCC
Short-eared Owl	Special Concern	Schedule 1	ECCC
Porsild's Bryum	Threatened	Schedule 1	Government of Nunavut (GN)
Transverse Lady Beetle	Special Concern	No Schedule	GN
Caribou (Dolphin and Union Population)	Endangered	Schedule 1	GN
Caribou (Barren-ground Population)	Threatened	No Schedule	GN
Caribou (Torngat Mountains Population)	Endangered	No Schedule	GN
Grizzly Bear (Western Population)	Special Concern	Schedule 1	ECCC
Peary Caribou	Endangered	Schedule 1	GN
Polar Bear	Special Concern	Schedule 1	ECCC
Wolverine	Special Concern	Schedule 1	GN
Atlantic Walrus (High Arctic Population)	Special Concern	No Schedule	Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO)
Atlantic Walrus (Central/Low Arctic Population)	Special Concern	No Schedule	DFO
Beluga Whale (Cumberland Sound Population)	Threatened	Schedule 1	DFO
Beluga Whale (Eastern Hudson Bay Population)	Endangered	No Schedule	DFO
Beluga Whale (Eastern High Arctic-Baffin Bay Population)	Special Concern	No Schedule	DFO
Beluga Whale (Western Hudson Bay Population)	Special Concern	No Schedule	DFO
Atlantic Cod (Arctic Lakes Population)	Special Concern	No Schedule	DFO
Fourhorn Sculpin (Freshwater Form)	Data Deficient	Schedule 3	DFO
Lumpfish	Threatened	No Schedule	DFO
Thorny Skate	Special Concern	No Schedule	DFO

¹ The Department of Fisheries and Oceans has responsibility for aquatic species.

² Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) has a national role to play in the conservation and recovery of Species at Risk in Canada, as well as responsibility for management of birds described in the Migratory Birds Convention Act (MBCA). Day-to-day management of terrestrial species not covered in the MBCA is the responsibility of the Territorial Government. Populations that exist in National Parks are also managed under the authority of the Parks Canada Agency.